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## The problem

Consider a convex set $E$ with $|E|=1$.
Let $\ell(E)$ denote the shortest bisecting chord.
Which set maximizes $\ell(E)$ ?
Equivalently, we can fix $\ell(E)$ and try to minimize $|E|$.
The problem was set by Auerbach in the 1930's.
First intuition: all the bisecting chords should have the same length!
Such sets are called Zindler sets.
This has a strong connection with the Ulam floating property.
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## Restating the problem

We have rewritten the problem as follows:
Among all functions $c:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c(\theta)=-c(\theta+\pi) \\
\int_{0}^{\pi} c(\theta)(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta)) d \theta=0 \\
\left|c^{\prime}(\theta)\right| \leq 1+c(\theta)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which one minimizes the area, which is

$$
\operatorname{Area}(c)=\pi-\int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{\theta} c(\theta) c(\varphi) \sin (\theta-\varphi) d \varphi \quad ?
$$
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Let us look at the Fourier coefficients of $c$,

$$
A_{n}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} c(\theta) \sin (n \theta), \quad B_{n}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} c(\theta) \cos (n \theta)
$$

$c(\theta)=-c(\theta+\pi) \Longrightarrow$ there are only coefficients of odd order
$\int_{0}^{\pi} c(\theta)(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta)) d \theta=0 \Longrightarrow$ there are no coefficients of order 1
Minimizing the area means maximizing

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \text { odd } \\ n \geq 3}} \frac{A_{n}^{2}+B_{n}^{2}}{n^{2}-1}
$$

This implies that the disk is not optimal!
On the countrary, it is the biggest Zindler set!
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So one can understand that the term $A_{3}^{2}+B_{3}^{2}$ is the most important.
Conjecture: the optimal function is $c=\hat{c}$ above.
The resulting set is the one above (the Auerbach triangle).
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## The results

- The Auerbach triangle minimizes the area among Zindler sets of fixed "bisecting length" (Fusco-P., 2010)
- The best convex set must be a Zindler set
(Esposito-Ferone-Kawohl-Nitsch-Trombetti, 2011)
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## The general case

What can we say about the general (not necessarily convex) case?

- First (quite positive) point: an optimizer must trivially be Zindler.
- Second (quite negative) point: there is no immediate condition to replace $\left|c^{\prime}\right| \leq 1+c^{2}$.
- Third (very negative) point: it is no more true that the lowest Fourier coefficients are the most important.
- Bad consequence: it is not even clear whether a minimizer exists!
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Here is a non-convex object of area 2.41


