Order-isomorphisms for cones and ellipsoids

Boaz Slomka, Tel Aviv University

Based on joint work with Shiri Artstein-Avidan

Cortona, June 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Order-isomorphisms - Motivating results

- In recent years, various characterizations of dualities and identities have been established, mainly for classes of convex bodies and functions. We call a bijective mapping *T* : *S* → *S*
 - Order reversing isomorphism if : $x \le y \iff Tx \ge Ty$
 - Order preserving isomorphism if : $x \le y \iff Tx \le Ty$
- Examples:
 - ► Theorem (Böröczky-Schneider): On the class of convex bodies (compact, containing the origin in the interior) K → K° is essentially the only inclusion reversing map.
 - Theorem (Artstein-Milman): The Legendre transform is, up to obvious linear modifications, the only involution on the class of l.s.c convex functions which reverses the (point-wise) order.
 - An earlier result: Gruber ; classification of the endomorphisms of lattices of convex bodies. More similar results by Schneider, Artstein-Milman,...

Some standard definitions

Cone: A nonempty subset K of a vector space which satisfies:

- $\blacktriangleright K + K \subset K,$
- $\alpha K \subset K$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$,
- $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}.$

Clearly, every cone is a convex set.

Partially ordered vector space: A vector space X equipped with an order relation compatible with the algebraic structure. Namely, if $x \le y$ then

•
$$x + z \le y + z$$
 for each $z \in X$

•
$$\alpha x \leq \alpha y$$
 for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$

Given (X, \leq), X₊ = { $x \in X : x \ge 0$ } is a cone

Given a cone K it induces a vector ordering: $x \leq y$ whenever $y - x \in K$

Cone order-isomorphisms in \mathbb{R}^n

• Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed non-degenerate cone.

What is the general form of the following order-isomorphisms:

•
$$T: (\mathbb{R}^n, \leq_{\mathcal{K}}) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, \leq_{\mathcal{K}})$$

•
$$T: (K, \leq_K) \to (K, \leq_K)$$

•
$$T: (int(K), \leq_K) \rightarrow (int(K), \leq_K)$$

For which cones must such transformations be affine (linear) ?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Some History

- ► A.D Alexandrov-Ovchinnikova ('53), Zeeman ('64); if K is a right-circular cone in ℝ⁴, then all order isomorphisms are affine, with a Lorentz transformation being the linear part.
- ▶ Rothaus ('66) has shown that for any non-angular closed non-degenerate cone, all order-isomorphisms are affine (holds both for a map defined on ℝⁿ or on the interior of K).
 - ► A cone *K* is non-angular if it has a compact base which does not have isolated extreme points.



- Every linear transformation B for which BK = K is an order-isomorphism
- Compositions and translations are allowed

•
$$K = \mathbb{R}^n_+, T(x) = (x_1^3, x_2^5, ..., x_n^7)$$

Our result: essentially there are no other options.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Main results

For any cone K, the general form of an order-isomorphism is described as follows. The set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ shall stand for either K, int(K) or \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem

Let $n \ge 2$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed non-degenerate cone. Let $T : (A, \le_K) \to (A, \le_K)$ be an order-isomorphism. Then, \exists bijective increasing functions $f_1, ..., f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, and linearly independent vectors $v_1, ..., v_n \in K$ and $w_1, ..., w_n \in K$ such that

$$T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\alpha_i) w_i$$

for $\alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n \in A$. If A = K or $A = \mathbb{R}^n$, $w_i = T(v_i)$.

Main results - continued

An additional condition on the order inducing cone, forces the order-isomorphism to be affine-linear;

We say that a set of m > n vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is *n*-independent if each n of them are linearly independent.

Theorem

Let n > 2. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed cone. Assume K has at least n + 1 n-independent extremal vectors. Let $T : (A, \leq_K) \to (A, \leq_K)$ be an order-isomorphism. Then, T is an affine transformation, i.e., $\exists v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \exists B \in GL_n \ s.t$

$$T(x) = v_0 + Bx$$
 and $BK = K$

► Recall: a vector e ∈ K is said to be an extremal vector of K if e = x + y with x, y ∈ K implies that x and y are linearly dependent.

Remarks

- An order isomorphism is continuous
- ► Any three extremal vectors of a cone (that generate three distinct extremal rays) are linearly independent. Hence, in R³, for a cone with more than 3 extremal rays, an order-isomorphism must be affine-linear.

▶ The same holds if we set two different orderings : $T : (A, \leq_{\kappa_1}) \rightarrow (A, \leq_{\kappa_2}).$

An application

Let us go back to the context of convex bodies; Let E^n denote the class of all compact ellipsoids centered at the origin and let E_0^n denote its subclass consisting of all non-degenerate ellipsoids.

Theorem

Let $T : E_0^n \to E_0^n$ or $T : E^n \to E^n$ be an order-isomorphism (with respect to inclusion). Then, T is induced by a linear point-map on \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary

Let $T : E_0^n \to E_0^n$ be an order-reversing isomorphism. Then, there exists a linear transformation $G \in GL_n$ such that $T(\mathcal{E}) = G\mathcal{E}^\circ$, for all $\mathcal{E} \in E_0^n$.

Application - continued

- Trying the usual method from previous (mentioned) works, one encounters difficulties. For example: the class E₀ⁿ, ordered by inclusion, does not satisfy lattice requirements. Namely there is no maximal object which is the "smallest" greater than some two given ellipsoids (and similarly minimum does not exist).
- Observation: Let D_n denote the standard Euclidean unit ball in ℝⁿ. For each ellipsoid *E*, let A be the unique symmetric positive definite matrix for which *E* = AD_n and denote *E* = *E*_A. Then, *E*_A ⊂ *E*_B ⇐⇒ A ≤ B. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_A \subset \mathcal{E}_B \iff ||B^{-1}A||_{op} \leq 1 \iff ||(B^{-1}A)^*||_{op} \leq 1 \\ \iff ||AB^{-1}||_{op} \leq 1 \iff A \leq B \end{aligned}$$

Application - continued

▶ By setting $T(\mathcal{E}_A) = \mathcal{E}_{F(A)}$, we get a bijective map F on

• the **cone** of symmetric positive semi-definite, denoted by C^n $(T : E^n \to E^n)$,

 all symmetric positive definite matrices, A = int(Cⁿ) (T : E₀ⁿ → E₀ⁿ).

- So F is an order-isomorphism with the ordering induced by Cⁿ, which is a good cone (its extrem! vectors are of the form v ⊗ v). By our main results: F is linear. But, we are not done yet!
- ► Theorem (Hue Geometry Group, Vietnam 2010): a bijective linear map F : A → A is of the form A → UAU*.

So, If *I* is fixed (*T* fixes D_n , w.l.o.g), then $I = UU^*$ and: $T(\mathcal{E}_A) = \mathcal{E}_{F(A)} = \mathcal{E}_{UAU^*} = UAU^*D_n = UAD_n = U\mathcal{E}_A.$

Ingredients of the proof

Extremal lines and their translates are mapped to translations of extremal lines. The reason is the following characterization:

 $v \in K$ is extremal $\iff 0 \le x, y \le v$ implies that x, y are comparable.

 Further investigation yields: parallel lines (rays) in extremal directions are mapped to parallel lines (rays). This is due to a characterization of doubly ruled surfaces;

Doubly ruled mappings

Proposition

Let $n \ge 3$. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be injective. Assume that F maps each ray parallel either to the X-axis or the Y-axis onto a ray, and that the end-point is mapped to the endpoint. That is, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $F(x_0, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is a ray emanating from $F(x_0, 0)$ and for any $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $F(\mathbb{R}_+, y_0)$ is a ray emanating from $F(0, y_0)$. Then each three rays parallel either to the X-axis or to the Y-axis are mapped to three rays which are parallel to one plane.

In general, the image of such F is contained in a doubly ruled surface, which is known to be a subset of either a plane, a one-sheeted hyperboloid or a hyperbolic paraboloid. In our case (injective map; full rays) the second possibility is ruled out.

For F : ℝ² → ℝⁿ - the general form is given; up to linear modifications: F(x, y) = (f(x), g(y), c ⋅ f(x)g(y)), c ∈ {0,1}.



F(x,y) = (x,y,xy)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > = □

A fundamental theorem of affine geometry

- The fundamental theorem of affine geometry (many versions): a bijective mapping which maps lines to lines must be affine.
- A need for a F.T.A.G for finite number of directions, with a parallelism condition (for a map on a cone and on ℝⁿ).

Theorem

Let $n \geq 2$. Let K_1 and K_2 be two closed non-degenerate cones in \mathbb{R}^n and let $v_1, ..., v_n \in K_1$ be linearly independent vectors. Let $F : K_1 \to K_2$ be injective. Assume that for all $x \in K_1$ and $i \leq n$, $F((x + \operatorname{sp} v_i) \cap K_1) = (F(x) + \operatorname{sp} F(v_i)) \cap K_2$. Then, \exists bijective functions $f_1, ..., f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ so that for $x = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n \in K_1$,

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(a_i) F(v_i)$$

Adding another generic direction, for which F maps parallel lines to parallel lines yields that F is affine-additive.