

Citations

From References: 12

From Reviews: 1

MR3781595 14N05 14P05 15A69

Angelini, Elena [[Angelini, Elena²](#)] (I-SIN-IFM); **Bocci, Cristiano** (I-SIN-IFM); **Chiantini, Luca** (I-SIN-IFM)

Real identifiability vs. complex identifiability. (English summary)

Linear Multilinear Algebra **66** (2018), no. 6, 1257–1267.

A tensor with complex entries which may be minimally decomposed into a sum of r simple tensors has *rank* r . If this decomposition is unique, then the tensor is *identifiable*. Most tensors in a particular space have a particular rank, and the tensors with rank smaller than this are said to have *sub-generic* rank. Most tensors of sub-generic rank are expected to be identifiable, so non-identifiable tensors of sub-generic rank are particularly interesting.

A natural question arises when looking at these non-identifiable tensors. Of the various decompositions into sums of complex simple tensors, how many involve only real entries? If there exists only one such decomposition, then we say that the tensor is identifiable over \mathbb{R} . It is this question that the authors explore.

Real elliptic normal curves are used throughout. The authors begin by looking at the \mathbb{P}^3 case, where real elliptic normal curves are complete intersections of two quadrics. A general point in this space is the intersection of two secant lines to such a curve. Either all four points of intersection between the secant lines and the curve are real, two are real and two are nonreal, or all four are nonreal.

This idea extends by induction in a natural way. Again, a general point in \mathbb{P}^{2r-1} is the intersection of two secant $(r-1)$ -spaces to a real elliptic normal curve. There exists an open ball of such points where one secant space intersects the curve in real points and the other secant space intersects the curve with some nonreal points. This corresponds to a tensor which is not identifiable over \mathbb{C} , as each secant space corresponds to a different tensor decomposition, but is identifiable over \mathbb{R} . *Douglas A. Torrance*

References

1. Chiantini L, Ciliberto C. On the concept of k -secant order of a variety. *J London Math Soc.* 2006;73:436–454. [MR2225496](#)
2. Bocci C, Chiantini L. On the identifiability of binary Segre products. *J Algebraic Geom.* 2013;22:1–11. [MR2993044](#)
3. Bocci C, Chiantini L, Ottaviani G. Refined methods for the identifiability of tensors. *Ann Mat Pura Appl.* 2014;193:1691–1702. [MR3275258](#)
4. Chiantini L, Ottaviani G, Vannieuwenhoven N. An algorithm for generic and low-rank specific identifiability of complex tensors. *SIAM J Matrix Anal Appl.* 2014;35:1265–1287. [MR3270978](#)
5. Chiantini L, Ottaviani G, Vannieuwenhoven N. On generic identifiability of symmetric tensors of subgeneric rank. *Trans Amer Math Soc.* 2017;369:4021–4042. [MR3624400](#)
6. Ballico E, Bernardi A. Unique decomposition for a polynomial of low rank. *Ann Polonici Math.* 2013;108:219–224. [MR3056286](#)
7. Kruskal J. Three-way arrays: rank and uniqueness of trilinear decompositions, with application to arithmetic complexity and statistics. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 1977;18:95–138. [MR0444690](#)

8. Ballico E, Chiantini L. A criterion for detecting the identifiability of symmetric tensors of size three. *Diff Geom Appl.* 2012;30:233–237. [MR2922641](#)
9. Buczyński J, Ginenski A, Landsberg J. Determinantal equations for secant varieties and the Eisenbud-Koh-Stillman conjecture. *J London Math Soc.* 2013;88:1–24. [MR3092255](#)
10. Chiantini L, Ottaviani G, Mella M. One example of general unidentifiable tensors. *J Algebraic Stat.* 2014;5:64–71. [MR3279954](#)
11. Chiantini L, Ottaviani G, Vannieuwenhoven N. Effective criteria for specific identifiability of tensors and forms; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1609.00123 [MR3666774](#)
12. Domanov I, De Lathauwer L. On the uniqueness of the canonical polyadic decomposition of third-order tensors – part ii: uniqueness of the overall decomposition. *SIAM J Matrix Anal Appl.* 2013;34:876–903. [MR3072761](#)
13. Domanov I, De Lathauwer L. Generic uniqueness conditions for the canonical polyadic decomposition and INDSCAL. *SIAM J Matrix Anal Appl.* 2015;36(4):1567–1589. [MR3421620](#)
14. Bernardi A, Daleo NS, Hauenstein JD, et al. Tensor decomposition and homotopy continuation; 2015. Available from: arXiv:1512.04312 [MR3724214](#)
15. Comon P, Lim L, Qi Y. Semialgebraic geometry of nonnegative tensor rank; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1601.05351 [MR3565551](#)
16. Ballico E, Bernardi A. Typical and admissible ranks over fields; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1604.02342v1 [MR3777822](#)
17. Baaijens J, Draisma J. On the existence of identifiable reparametrizations for linear compartment models. *SIAM J Appl Math.* 2016;76:1577–1605. [MR3537019](#)
18. Arbarello E, Cornalba M. Footnotes to a paper of B. Segre. *Math Ann.* 1981;256:341–362. [MR0626954](#)
19. Chiantini L, Ottaviani G. On generic identifiability of 3-tensors of small rank. *SIAM J Matrix Anal Appl.* 2012;33:1018–1037. [MR3023462](#)
20. Ranestad K, Voisin C. Variety of power sums and divisors in the moduli space of cubic fourfolds; 2013. Available from: arXiv:1309.1899 [MR3628789](#)
21. Mella M. Singularities of linear systems and the waring problem. *Trans Amer Math Soc.* 2006;358:5523–5538. [MR2238925](#)
22. Bernardi A, Vanzo D. A new class of non-identifiable skew symmetric tensors; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1606.04158v2 [MR3848461](#)
23. Galuppi F, Mella M. Identifiability of homogeneous polynomials and cremona transformations; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1606.06895 [MR4036576](#)
24. Michalek M, Moon H, Sturmfels B, et al. Real rank geometry of ternary forms; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1601.06574 [MR3654943](#)
25. Angelini E, Bocci C, Chiantini L. Real identifiability vs complex identifiability; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1608.07197
26. Bochnak J, Coste M, Roy M. *Real algebraic geometry*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 1998. [MR1659509](#)
27. Bates D, Hauenstein J, Sommese A, et al. Bertini: software for numerical algebraic geometry. 2013. Available from: bertini.nd.edu
28. Angelini E, Galuppi F, Mella M, et al. On the number of waring decompositions for a generic polynomial vector; 2016. Available from: arXiv:1601.01869 [MR3720862](#)
29. Ranestad K, Schreyer F. Varieties of sums of powers. *J Reine Angew Math.* 2000;525:147–181. [MR1780430](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2022