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#### Abstract

A smooth prime Fano threefold $X$ of genus 9 is associated to a surface $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$, ruled over a smooth plane quartic $\Gamma$. We consider the natural integral functor $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}: \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma)$.

We prove that for every $c_{2} \geq 7$, the functor $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}$gives a birational map from a component of the Maruyama moduli space $\mathrm{M}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$ of stable rank 2 sheaves $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=1, c_{2}(F)=c_{2}$ to a generically smooth component of the Brill-Noether locus of stable bundles $\mathcal{F}$ on $\Gamma$ of rank $c_{2}-6$ and degree $c_{2}-5$, with $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq c_{2}-6$.

Moreover if $c_{2}=7$, we prove that the moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ is isomorphic to the blowing-up of the Picard variety $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$ along the curve parameterizing lines contained in $X$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth projective threefold, whose Picard group is generated by an ample divisor $H_{X}$. We consider Maruyama's coarse moduli scheme $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(r, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of $H_{X}$-semistable rank $r$ sheaves $F$ on $X$ with $c_{i}(F)=c_{i}$.

Little is known about this space in general, but many results are available in special cases. For instance, rank 2 bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ have been intensively studied since Bar77.

Since AHDM78] and AW77], the case which has attracted most attention is that of instanton bundles, i.e. stable rank 2 bundles $F$ with $c_{1}(F)=$ $0, \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, F(-2)\right)=0$. Their moduli space is known to be smooth and irreducible for $c_{2}(F) \leq 5$, see [K003], CTT03] and references therein. The starting points in the investigation of this case are Beilinson's theorem and the notion of monad, see [BH78, OSS80.

Now, if one desires to set up a similar analysis over a threefold $X$ other than $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, one direction is to look at Fano threefolds. Recall that if the anticanonical divisor $-K_{X}$ is linearly equivalent to $i_{X} H_{X}$, for some positive integer $i_{X}$, then the variety $X$ is called a Fano threefold of index $i_{X}$. These varieties are in fact completely classified by Iskovskih and later by Mukai, see IP99 and references therein.

Our aim is to study the moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ on a Fano threefold $X$ of index $i_{X}=1$. Recall that the genus of a Fano threefold $X$ of index 1 is defined as $g=H_{X}^{3} / 2+1$. Notice that, since the rank of a sheaf $F$

[^0]in $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is 2 , one can assume $c_{1} \in\{0,1\}$. Accordingly, we speak of bundles with odd or even determinant. If $c_{1}=1$, one sees that $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$ is empty for $c_{2}<m_{g}=\lceil g / 2+1\rceil$. The case of minimal $c_{2}=m_{g}$ is well understood (see for instance [IM04a] for genus 7, [IR05] for genus 9, Kuz96] for genus 12). For higher $c_{2}$, we are aware of the results contained in [IM07b], [AF06], [IM07a], [BF07], where only the last two papers study also the boundary of $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$. Even less is known in the case of even determinant. We refer to [BF08b], where the space $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,0,4)$ is studied for $X$ of genus 7.

This paper, together with [BF07] and [BF08a] is devoted to the study of the space $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$ for $c_{2}>m_{g}$, with a special emphasis on $c_{2}=m_{g}+1$. Our main idea is to make use of Kuznetsov's semiorthogonal decomposition of the derived category of $X$ (see [Kuz06]), to develop a suitable homological method, thus rephrasing the language of monads and Beilinson's theorem.

More precisely, in this paper we focus on Fano threefolds $X$ of genus 9 . Recall that, by a result of Mukai, Muk88, Muk89, the variety $X$ is a linear section of the Lagrangian Grassmannian sixfold $\Sigma$. We consider the orthogonal plane quartic $\Gamma$, and the integral functor $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}: \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma)$, according to Kuznetsov's theorem, Kuz06. The functor is the right adjoint to the fully faithful functor $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$, provided by the universal sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ on $X \times \Gamma$ for the fine moduli space $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$. Recall that the threefold $X$ is associated to a rank 2 stable bundle $\mathcal{V}$ on $\Gamma$, in such a way that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{2}^{0}(X)$ of conics contained in $X$, see [Ili03].

For any $d \geq 7$, we proved in BF07 that there exists a component $\mathrm{M}(d)$ of $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1, d)$, whose general element is a vector bundle $F$ with $\mathrm{H}^{k}(X, F(-1))=0$, for all $k$. Here we investigate in details the properties of $\mathrm{M}(d)$.

The main result of this paper is the following.
Theorem. The map $\varphi: F \mapsto \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ gives:
A) for any $d \geq 8$, a birational map of $\mathrm{M}(d)$ to a generically smooth (2d-11)dimensional component of the Brill-Noether locus:

$$
\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{M}_{\Gamma}(d-6, d-5) \mid \mathrm{h}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq d-6\right\} ;
$$

B) an isomorphism of $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ with the blowing up of $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$ along a curve isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)$ of lines contained in $X$. The exceptional divisor consists of the sheaves in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ which are not globally generated.

Note that this result closely resembles that of [Dru00, [IM00] MT01, regarding rank 2 sheaves on a smooth cubic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^{4}$. Their method relies on the Abel-Jacobi mapping.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section we set up some notation. Then, in Section 3, we review the geometry of prime Fano threefolds $X$ of genus 9 , and we interpret some well-known facts concerning lines and conics contained in $X$ in the language of vector bundles. In Section 4. we state and prove part (A) of the theorem above. Section 5 is devoted to part $(\bar{B})$.

## 2. Preliminary definitions and Results

Given a smooth complex projective $n$-dimensional polarized variety $\left(X, H_{X}\right)$ and a sheaf $F$ on $X$, we write $F(t)$ for $F \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(t H_{X}\right)$. Given a subscheme $Z$ of $X$, we write $F_{Z}$ for $F \otimes \mathscr{O}_{Z}$ and we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{Z, X}$ the ideal sheaf of $Z$ in $X$, and by $N_{Z, X}$ its normal sheaf. We will frequently drop the second subscript. Given a pair of sheaves $(F, E)$ on $X$, we will write $\operatorname{ext}_{X}^{k}(F, E)$ for the dimension of the Čech cohomology group $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}(F, E)$, and similarly $\mathrm{h}^{k}(X, F)=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{k}(X, F)$. The Euler characteristic of $(F, E)$ is defined as $\chi(F, E)=\sum_{k}(-1)^{k} \operatorname{ext}_{X}^{k}(F, E)$ and $\chi(F)$ is defined as $\chi\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}, F\right)$. We denote by $p(F, t)$ the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(F(t))$ of the sheaf $F$. The degree $\operatorname{deg}(L)$ of a divisor class $L$ is defined as the degree of $L \cdot H_{X}^{n-1}$. The dualizing sheaf of $X$ is denoted by $\omega_{X}$.

If $X$ is an smooth $n$-dimensional subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$, whose coordinate ring is Cohen-Macaulay, then $X$ is said to be arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (ACM). A locally free sheaf $F$ on an ACM variety $X$ is called ACM (arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay) if it has no intermediate cohomology, i.e. if $\mathrm{H}^{k}(X, F(t))=0$ for all integer $t$ and for any $0<k<n$. The corresponding module over the coordinate ring of $X$ is thus a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

Let us now recall a few well-known facts about semistable sheaves on projective varieties. We refer to the book HL97 for a more detailed account of these notions. We recall that a torsionfree coherent sheaf $F$ on $X$ is (Gieseker) semistable if for any coherent subsheaf $E$, with $0<\operatorname{rk}(E)<$ $\operatorname{rk}(F)$, one has $p(E, t) / \operatorname{rk}(E) \leq p(F, t) / \operatorname{rk}(F)$ for $t \gg 0$. The sheaf $F$ is called stable if the inequality above is always strict.

The slope of a sheaf $F$ of positive rank is defined as $\mu(F)=$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(c_{1}(F)\right) / \operatorname{rk}(F)$, where $c_{1}(F)$ is the first Chern class of $F$. We recall that a torsionfree coherent sheaf $F$ is $\mu$-semistable if for any coherent subsheaf $E$, with $0<\operatorname{rk}(E)<\operatorname{rk}(F)$, one has $\mu(E)<\mu(F)$. The sheaf $F$ is called $\mu$-stable if the above inequality is always strict. We recall that the discriminant of a sheaf $F$ is $\Delta(F)=2 r c_{2}(F)-(r-1) c_{1}(F)^{2}$, where the $k$-th Chern class $c_{k}(F)$ of $F$ lies in $\mathrm{H}^{k, k}(X)$. Bogomolov's inequality, see for instance HL97, Theorem 3.4.1], states that if $F$ is also $\mu$-semistable, then we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(F) \cdot H_{X}^{n-2} \geq 0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that by Maruyama's theorem, see Mar80], if $\operatorname{dim}(X)=n \geq 2$ and $F$ is a $\mu$-semistable sheaf of rank $r<n$, then its restriction to a general hypersurface of $X$ is still $\mu$-semistable.

We introduce here some notation concerning moduli spaces. We denote by $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(r, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ the moduli space of $S$-equivalence classes of rank $r$ torsionfree semistable sheaves on $X$ with Chern classes $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$. The Chern class $c_{k}$ will be denoted by an integer as soon as $\mathrm{H}^{k, k}(X)$ has dimension 1. We will drop the last values of the classes $c_{k}$ when they are zero. The moduli space of $\mu$-semistable sheaves is denoted by $\mathrm{M}_{X}^{\mu}\left(r, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$.

Let us review some notation concerning the Hilbert scheme. Given a numerical polynomial $p(t)$, we let $\operatorname{Hilb}_{p(t)}(X)$ be the Hilbert scheme of closed subschemes of $X$ with Hilbert polynomial $p(t)$. In case $p(t)$ has degree one,
we let $\mathscr{H}_{d}^{g}(X)$ be the union of components of $\operatorname{Hilb}_{p(t)}(X)$ containing integral curves of degree $d$ and arithmetic genus $g$.

As a basic technical tool, we will use the bounded derived category. Namely, given a smooth complex projective variety $X$, we will consider the derived category $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$ of complexes of sheaves on $X$ with bounded coherent cohomology. For definitions and notation we refer to [GM96] and [Wei94]. In particular we write [ $j$ ] for the $j$-th shift to the right in the derived category.

We use the following terminology. Any claim referring to a general element in a given parameter space $P$, shall mean that the claim holds true for all elements of $P$, but for those who lie in a Zariski closed subset of $P$.

Let now $X$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension 3 . Recall that $X$ is called Fano if its anticanonical divisor class $-K_{X}$ is ample. A Fano threefold $X$ is prime if its Picard group is generated by the class of $K_{X}$. These varieties are classified up to deformation, see for instance [IP99, Chapter IV]. The number of deformation classes is 10 , and they are characterized by the genus, which is the integer $g$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(X)=-K_{X}^{3}=2 g-2$. Recall that the genus of a prime Fano threefold take values in $\{2, \ldots, 10,12\}$.

If $X$ is a prime Fano threefold of genus $g$, the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(Y)$ of lines contained in $X$ is a scheme of pure dimension 1. It contains a nonreduced irreducible component if and only if the normal bundle of a general line in that component $L \subset X$ splits as $\mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. The threefold $X$ is said to be exotic if the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(Y)$ has a nonreduced component. It turns out that any threefold of genus 9 is not exotic, see GLN06.

Recall also that a smooth projective surface $S$ is a $K 3$ surface if it has trivial canonical bundle and irregularity zero.

Remark that the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{k, k}(X)$ of a prime Fano threefold $X$ of genus $g$ are generated by the divisor class $H_{X}($ for $k=1)$, the class $L_{X}$ of a line contained in $X$ (for $k=2$ ), the class $P_{X}$ of a closed point of $X$ (for $k=3$ ). Hence we will denote the Chern classes of a sheaf on $Y$ by the integral multiple of the corresponding generator. Recall that $H_{X}^{2}=(2 g-2) L_{X}$. We use an analogous notation on a K3 surface $S$ of genus $g$.

We recall by HL97, Part II, Chapter 6] that, given a stable sheaf $F$ of rank $r$ on a K3 surface $S$ of sectional genus $g$, with Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}$, the dimension at $[F]$ of the moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{S}\left(r, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(F)-2\left(r^{2}-1\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall finally the formula of Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch, in the case of prime Fano threefolds of genus 9. Let $F$ be a rank $r$ sheaf on a prime Fano threefold $X$ of genus 9 with Chern classes $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(F) & =r+\frac{10}{3} c_{1}+4 c_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c_{2}+\frac{8}{3} c_{1}^{3}-\frac{1}{2} c_{1} c_{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{3}, \\
\chi(F, F) & =r^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta(F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Geometry of prime Fano 3 -folds of genus 9

Throughout the paper we will denote by $X$ a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 9 . In this section, we briefly sketch some of the basic features of
$X$. For detailed account on the geometry of these varieties, and the related Sp(3)-geometry, we refer to the papers [Muk88], Muk89], [li03], [IR05].

By a result of Mukai, the threefold $X$ is isomorphic to a 3-codimensional linear section of the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\Sigma$ of 3-dimensional subspaces of a 6 -dimensional vector space $V$ which are isotropic with respect to a skewsymmetric 2-form $\omega$. The divisor class $H_{X}$ embeds $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{10}$ as an ACM variety. It is well known that a general hyperplane section $S$ of $X$ is a smooth K3 surface polarized by the restriction $H_{S}$ of $H_{X}$ to $S$, with Picard number 1 and sectional genus 9 .

The manifold $\Sigma$ is homogeneous for the complex Lie group $\mathrm{Sp}(3)$, which acts on $V$ preserving $\omega$. The Lie algebra of this group has dimension 21, its Dynkin diagram is of type $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and the manifold $\Sigma$ is $\operatorname{Sp}(3) / \mathrm{P}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)$. In fact, $\Sigma$ is a Hermitian symmetric space. It is equipped with a universal homogeneous rank 3 subbundle $\mathcal{U}$, fitting in the universal exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us review the properties of the vector bundle $\mathcal{U}$. Its Chern classes satisfy $c_{1}(\mathcal{U})=-1, c_{2}(\mathcal{U})=8, c_{3}(\mathcal{U})=-2$. The bundle $\mathcal{U}$ is exceptional by [Kuz06. Moreover, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. The bundle $\mathcal{U}$ is stable and ACM. The same is true for its restriction $\mathcal{U}_{S}$ to a smooth hyperplane section surface $S$ with $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\left\langle H_{S}\right\rangle$.

Proof. Consider the Koszul complex:

$$
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{3} B \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma}(-3) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

and tensor it with $\mathcal{U}$.
By Bott's theorem we know that, for any $t$, the homogeneous vector bundles $\mathcal{U}(t)$ on $\Sigma$ have natural cohomology. Using Riemann-Roch's formula on $\Sigma$, we get $\chi(\mathcal{U}(-t))=0$, for $t=0, \ldots, 3$. We obtain:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{k}(\Sigma, \mathcal{U}(-t))=0, \quad \text { for } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { all } k \text { and } t=0, \ldots, 3 \\
k \neq 0 \text { and } t<0 \\
k \neq 6 \text { and } t>3
\end{array}\right.
$$

It easily follows that $\mathcal{U}$ is ACM on $X$. Since $\wedge^{2} \mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)$, by Serre duality we get $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, \wedge^{2} \mathcal{U}\right)=0$, so $\mathcal{U}$ is stable by Hoppe's criterion, see Hop84, Lemma 2.6], or A094, Theorem 1.2].

To check the statement on $S$, consider the defining exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{S} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the bundle $\mathcal{U}$ is ACM on $X$, tensoring (3.2) by $\mathcal{U}(-t)$, and using $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, \mathcal{U})=0$, we get:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(S, \mathcal{U}(t))=0, \quad \text { for } t \geq 0, \quad \text { and } \mathrm{H}^{0}(S, \mathcal{U})=0
$$

Tensoring 3.2 by $\mathcal{U}^{*}(-t)$, since we have proved $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)=0$, and since $\mathcal{U}$ is ACM on $X$, making use of Serre duality we obtain:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(S, \mathcal{U}(t))=0, \quad \text { for } t \geq 1, \quad \text { and } \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)=0
$$

This proves that the bundle $\mathcal{U}_{S}$ is ACM and that it is stable again by Hoppe's criterion.
3.1. Universal bundles and the decomposition of $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$. Here we review the structure of the derived category of a smooth prime Fano threefold $X$ of genus 9 , in terms of the semiorthogonal decomposition provided by [Kuz06]. We will need to interpret this decomposition in terms of the universal vector bundle of the moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$. In view of the results of [IR05], and recalling BF08a, Lemma 3.4], the moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$ is fine and isomorphic to a smooth plane quartic curve $\Gamma$. This curve can be obtained as an orthogonal linear section of $\Sigma$ and is also called the homologically projectively dual curve to $X$. Let us denote by $\mathscr{E}$ the universal vector bundle on $X \times \Gamma$, and by $p$ and $q$ respectively the projections to $X$ and $\Gamma$.

We have the integral functor $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ associated to $\mathscr{E}$, and its right and left adjoint functors $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}$and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}$, which are defined by the formulas:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{\Phi}: \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X), & \boldsymbol{\Phi}(-)=\mathbf{R} p_{*}\left(q^{*}(-) \otimes \mathscr{E}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}: \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma), & \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(-)=\mathbf{R} q_{*}\left(p^{*}(-) \otimes \mathscr{E}^{*}\left(\omega_{\Gamma}\right)\right)[1] \\
\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}: \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma), & \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}(-)=\mathbf{R} q_{*}\left(p^{*}(-) \otimes \mathscr{E}^{*}\left(-H_{X}\right)\right)[3] \tag{3.5}
\end{array}
$$

The topological invariants of $\mathscr{E}$ are the following:

$$
c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=H_{X}+N, \quad c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=6 L_{X}+H_{X} M+\eta,
$$

where $N$ and $M$ are divisor classes on $\Gamma$, and $\eta$ sits in $\mathrm{H}^{3}(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$.
Lemma 3.2. We have $\eta^{2}=6$ and $\operatorname{deg}(N)=2 \operatorname{deg}(M)-1$.
Proof. Recall that the bundle $\mathscr{E}$ is the universal for $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$, and write $\mathscr{E}_{y}$ for the bundle on $X$ corresponding to the point $y \in \Gamma$. By [BF08a, Lemma 3.3], we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}, \mathscr{E}_{z}\right)=0$ if $k \geq 2$, for all $y, z \in \Gamma$. Moreover we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{hom}_{X}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}, \mathscr{E}_{y}\right) & =\operatorname{ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}, \mathscr{E}_{y}\right)=1, & & \text { for all } y \in \Gamma, \\
\operatorname{hom}_{X}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}, \mathscr{E}_{z}\right) & =\operatorname{ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}, \mathscr{E}_{z}\right)=0, & & \text { for all } y \neq z \in \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}\right) \cong \mathscr{O}_{y}$. By [BF07, Proposition 3.4], for any $y \in \Gamma$, the bundle $\mathscr{E}_{y}$ satisfies:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(X, \mathscr{E}_{y}^{*}\right)=0, \quad \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

hence we have $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\right)=0$. Plugging the equations $\chi\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}\right)\right)=0$ and $\chi\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}\right)\right)=1$ into Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch's formula, we get our claim.

By Kuznetsov's theorem, Kuz06], we have the semiorthogonal decomposition:

$$
\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)=\left\langle\mathscr{O}_{X}, \mathcal{U}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma)\right)\right\rangle
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ is the integral functor associated to a sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $X \times \Gamma$, flat over $\Gamma$. We would like to see that $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ actually agrees with $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. We do this in a rather indirect way, in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. The sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ is isomorphic to (a twist) of $\mathscr{E}$.
Proof. It follows by [Kuz06, Appendix A] that $\mathscr{F}_{y}$ fits into a long exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}_{y} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $Z$ is the intersection of a 3 -dimensional quadric contained in $\Sigma$ with a codimension 2 linear section of $\Sigma$. Note that $\mathscr{F}_{y}$ is torsionfree of rank 2. Since $X$ does not contain planes or 2-dimensional quadrics, $Z$ must be a conic. Therefore, we have $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)=0, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)=-2, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{O}_{Z}\right)=0$. Thus we calculate $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{y}\right)=1, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{y}\right)=6, c_{3}\left(\mathscr{F}_{y}\right)=0$, and we easily check that $\mathscr{F}_{y}$ is a stable sheaf, i.e. $\mathscr{F}_{y}$ sits in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$. Note that, by [BF07, Proposition 3.4], $\mathscr{F}_{y}$ must be a vector bundle. Since $\mathscr{E}$ is a universal vector bundle for the fine moduli space $\Gamma=\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$, we have thus that $\mathscr{F}$ is the twist by a line bundle on $\Gamma$ of a pull-back of $\mathscr{E}$ via a map $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$.

Note that if $f$ is not constant, then it is an isomorphism and we are done. Now, in view of [Bri99], it is easy to prove that $f$ is not constant since $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ is fully faithful. Indeed, the sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ must satisfy:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathscr{F}_{y}, \mathscr{F}_{z}\right)=0, \quad \text { for all } k \text { if } y \neq z \in \Gamma
$$

But if $f$ was constant, we would have $\operatorname{hom}_{X}\left(\mathscr{F}_{y}, \mathscr{F}_{z}\right)=1$, for any $y, z \in$ $\Gamma$.

The semiorthogonal decomposition of $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$ can be thus rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)=\left\langle\mathscr{O}_{X}, \mathcal{U}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma)\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, given a sheaf $F$ over $X$, we have a functorial exact triangle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{*}(F)\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is the inclusion of the subcategory $\left\langle\mathscr{O}_{X}, \mathcal{U}_{+}^{*}\right\rangle$ in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$ and $\mathbf{\Psi}^{*}$ is the left adjoint functor to $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$. The $k$-th term of the complex $\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{*}(F)\right)$ can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}\left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{*}(F)\right)\right)^{k} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{-k}\left(F, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)^{*} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X} \oplus \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1-k}\left(F, \mathcal{U}_{+}\right)^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{+}^{*} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.4. The universal bundle $\mathscr{E}$ is determined up to twisting by the pull-back of a line bundle on $\Gamma$. In order to simplify some computations, we adopt the convention:

$$
\operatorname{deg}(N)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathscr{E}_{x}\right)=5
$$

Remark 3.5. Making use of mutations, one can easily write down the following semiorthogonal decomposition of $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)=\left\langle\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma)\right), \mathcal{U}, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right\rangle \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}: \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$ is defined as $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{R} p_{*}\left(q^{*}(-) \otimes \mathscr{E}\left(-H_{X}\right)\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}$ be the left adjoint of the functor $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}$.

Let $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ be the projections of $X \times X$ onto the two factors, and denote by $\mathbf{U}$ the complex on $X \times X$ defined by the natural map $\mathcal{U} \boxtimes \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X \times X}$, where $\mathscr{O}_{X \times X}$ has cohomological degree 0 . Then the projection onto the subcategory $\left\langle\mathcal{U}, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right\rangle$ is given by the functor $\mathbf{R} q_{2 *}\left(q_{1}^{*}(-) \otimes \mathbf{U}\right)$.

Lemma 3.6. We have the natural isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{U}^{*} \\
& \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{U}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Note that, for any object $F$ of $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$, we have $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}(F(-1)) \cong \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}(F)$, and for any object $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(\Gamma)$, we have $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})(-1) \cong \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathcal{F})$. In particular, we get a natural isomorphism $\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)\right)(1) \cong \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)\right)$.

By the decomposition (3.9), we get a distinguished triangle:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R} q_{2 *}\left(q_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right) \otimes \mathbf{U}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have $\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(X, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)=0$ for all $k$, and $\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(X, \mathcal{U}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1)\right)=0$ for $k \neq 3, \mathrm{~h}^{3}\left(X, \mathcal{U}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}(-1)\right)=1$, the lefthandside in 3.10 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}[-2]$. Thus we have $\mathcal{H}^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{0}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{U}$. This finishes the proof.
3.2. Lines and conics contained in $X$. In this section we review some facts concerning the geometry of lines and conics contained in $X$. Along the way (Proposition 3.11), we reprove here a result of Iliev, see [li03. We outline a different proof, since some of the arguments will be used further on. This proof is valid for all smooth prime Fano threefolds of genus 9.

Lemma 3.7. Let $C$ be any conic contained in $X$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}\right)=1, & \mathrm{~h}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{C}\right)=0, \\
\operatorname{hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)=1, & \operatorname{ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)=0, \quad \text { for } k \neq 1 \tag{3.12}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. By Riemann-Roch we have $\chi\left(\mathcal{U}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)=1$, and one can easily prove $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)=0$, for $k \geq 2$. So there is at least a nonzero global section $s$ of $\mathcal{U}^{*}$ which vanishes on the curve $C$. Note that $s$ lifts to a section $\tilde{s}$ of $\mathcal{U}^{*}$ on $\Sigma$, and $C$ is contained in the vanishing locus of $\tilde{s}$. This locus is a smooth 3 -dimensional quadric $Q \subset \Sigma$.

It is easy to see that the restriction of $\mathcal{U}$ to $Q$ splits as $\mathscr{O}_{Q} \oplus \mathscr{S}$, where $\mathscr{S}$ is the spinor bundle on $Q$. It is well-known that $\mathscr{S}$ is a stable bundle on $Q$ with $\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{S})=2$ and $c_{1}(\mathscr{S})=-H_{Q}$. Moreover, the bundle $\mathscr{S}$ is ACM on $Q$. See for instance Ott88.

The conic $C$ is the complete intersection of two hyperplanes in $Q$, hence we have the Koszul complex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}\left(-2 H_{Q}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q}\left(-H_{Q}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{Q} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tensoring (3.13) by $\mathscr{S}$, since $\mathscr{S}$ is stable and ACM on $Q$, we get $\mathrm{H}^{k}(C, \mathscr{S})=0$ for all $k$. This implies (3.11). Using (3.1), one easily gets (3.12).

Lemma 3.8. Let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$, and let $\alpha$ be any nonzero element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)$. Then $\alpha$ gives the long exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{U}^{*} \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some conic $C$ contained in $X$ and $\beta$ is a global section of $\mathcal{U}^{*}$.
Proof. Let $I$ be the image of a nonzero map $\alpha: \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow F$. Recall by Lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{U}$ is stable. Thus, by stability of $F$ we get $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{ker} \alpha)=1$ and $c_{1}(\operatorname{ker} \alpha)=0$. Since ker $\alpha$ is reflexive, it must be invertible and we get an exact sequence of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $I$ is easily proved to be stable. To get (3.14), observe that the cokernel $T$ of $I \hookrightarrow F$ satisfies $c_{1}(T)=0, c_{2}(T)=-2, c_{3}(T)=0$. Hence $T$ agrees with $\mathscr{O}_{C}$, for some conic $C \subset X$, as soon as it has no isolated or embedded points. But from 3.15 we get $\mathrm{H}^{1}(X, I(-1))=0$ and, since $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, F(-1))=0$ by stability, it follows $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, T(-1))=0$ which implies our claim.

Lemma 3.9. Let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=2, \\
\operatorname{ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=0, & \text { for all } k \geq 1 \tag{3.16}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Let us prove (3.16). For $k=3$, in view of Serre duality, the vanishing of $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{3}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)$ is easily obtained by stability of $\mathcal{U}^{*}$ and $F$.

For $k=2$, recall by [BF07, Proposition 3.4] that $F$ is a globally generated vector bundle, and we have thus an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}^{6} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can prove, as in the proof of [BF08a, Lemma 3.3], that $K$ is a stable vector bundle. This gives, since $\mathcal{U}^{*}$ is ACM:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{3}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, K\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(K, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)^{*}=0
$$

where the last vanishing takes place by stability.
Let us now consider the case $k=1$. Observe that $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right) \neq 0$ since by Riemann-Roch we have $\chi\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=2$ and we have proved (3.16) for $k=2$. A nonzero map $\alpha: \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow F$ must give rise to (3.14) by Lemma 3.8. Tensoring (3.14) by $\mathcal{U}$, since $\mathcal{U}$ is an exceptional ACM bundle by Lemma 3.1 , we obtain (3.16) for $k=1$, by virtue of (3.11).

Lemma 3.10. Let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$. Then we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}, F^{*}\right)=0$ for all $k$.

Proof. Recall that $F$ is a globally generated ACM bundle. Clearly, we have $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(F^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right)=0$. Now, dualize the exact sequence (3.17), and tensor it by $\mathcal{U}$. Note that $\mu\left(K^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right)=-1 / 12$, so $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, K^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, F^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right)=0$ by stability. Similarly, we obtain $\mathrm{H}^{3}\left(X, F^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right)=0$. By Riemann-Roch we compute $\chi\left(F^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right)=0$, so the group $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X, F^{*} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right)$ vanishes too, and our statement is proved.

The following result was already proved by Iliev, [lli03].
Proposition 3.11 (Iliev). Let $X$ be a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 9. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{V}=q_{*}\left(p^{*}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathscr{E}\right)$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on $\Gamma$ with $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{V})=1$, and we have a natural isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{*} \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{2}^{0}(X)$ is isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ over the curve $\Gamma$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.9, we have $\mathbf{R}^{k} q_{*}\left(p^{*}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathscr{E}\right)=0$, for $k \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{V}$ is a locally free sheaf on $\Gamma$ of $\operatorname{rank} \mathrm{h}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathscr{E}_{y}\right)=2$.

By an instance of Grothendieck duality, see [Har66, Chapter III], given a sheaf $\mathscr{P}$ on $X \times \Gamma$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R} \mathcal{H o m}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathbf{R} q_{*}(\mathscr{P}), \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}\right) \cong \mathbf{R} q_{*}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X}(-1) \otimes \mathbf{R} \mathcal{H o m}_{X \times \Gamma}\left(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{O}_{X \times \Gamma}\right)\right)[3] \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the isomorphism is functorial. Setting $\mathscr{P}=p^{*}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes \mathscr{E}$ in (3.19), we get (3.18).

Consider now an element $\xi$ of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$. It is uniquely represented by a pair $([\alpha], y)$, where $y$ is a point of $\Gamma$, and $[\alpha]$ is an element of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathscr{E}_{y}\right)\right)$. By Lemma 3.8, the morphism $\alpha$ gives (3.14). Applying the functor $\mathscr{H} \operatorname{om}_{X}\left(-, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ to (3.14), one can easily write down the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{y}^{*} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\top}} \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\beta^{\top}} \mathcal{I}_{C} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given two elements $\xi_{1}=\left(\left[\alpha_{1}\right], y_{1}\right)$ and $\xi_{2}=\left(\left[\alpha_{2}\right], y_{2}\right)$ we have thus two ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_{C_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{C_{2}}$. We want to show that if we have $\mathcal{I}_{C_{1}} \cong \mathcal{I}_{C_{2}}$, then $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$. Note that an isomorphism $\gamma: \mathcal{I}_{C_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_{2}}$ lifts to a nontrivial map $\tilde{\gamma}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ as soon as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathscr{E}_{y_{2}}^{*}\right)=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in turn is given by Lemma 3.10. Thus, by the simplicity of $\mathcal{U}$, the map $\tilde{\gamma}$ must be a multiple of the identity, and we have an isomorphism $\hat{\gamma}: \mathscr{E}_{y_{1}} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{y_{2}}$ with $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \circ \hat{\gamma}$.

Summing up, we have an injective map $\vartheta: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{2}^{0}(X)$. Since the variety $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ is a projective surface and $\mathscr{H}_{2}^{0}(X)$ is an irreducible surface, we conclude that $\vartheta$ is surjective.

To prove that $\vartheta$ is a local isomorphism, we show that the tangent space $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)$ is naturally identified with the tangent space to $\mathcal{T}_{\xi}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}))$ to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V})$ at the point $\xi=\vartheta^{-1}([C])$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(-, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)$ to 3.20 , we obtain for each $k$ an isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k+1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}^{*}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)
$$

Therefore, tensoring now 3.20 by $\mathscr{E}_{y}$ and taking global sections we get $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right)=0$. We also obtain the top row in the following commutative exact diagram:


Here the bottom row is the natural exact sequence of the tangent spaces for the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \Gamma$, and the first, second and fourth vertical maps are clearly isomorphisms. Hence we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}, \mathcal{I}_{C}\right) \cong \mathcal{I}_{\xi}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}))$ and we are done.

Lemma 3.12. We have a natural isomorphism $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\prime}(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1] \cong \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)$. In particular, we get $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}\right) \cong \omega_{\Gamma}(-N)$, where $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=H_{X}+N$.
Proof. By Grothendieck duality (3.19), we get a natural isomorphism:

$$
\mathcal{V} \cong \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)^{*} \cong \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(\mathcal{U}(1)) \otimes \omega_{\Gamma}^{*}(N)[-1],
$$

and since $\mathcal{V}$ has rank 2 , the second statement thus follows from the first one.

In view of Proposition 3.11, the rank 2 bundle $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)$ is stable. Thus we only need to show that there is a nonzero morphism from $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1]$ to $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)$. Thus we compute:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(\mathcal{U}(1))[-1], \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}(1), \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)\right)[1]\right) \cong \\
\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{X}(\mathcal{U}(1), \mathcal{U}(1)),
\end{array}
$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.6. This concludes the proof.

Remark 3.13. In view of the previous results, we can identify $\mathcal{V}$ with a twist of the stable rank 2 bundle of degree 3 defined by Iliev in [Ili03, Section 5]. Let $K_{\Gamma}=c_{1}\left(\omega_{\Gamma}\right)$ and recall that by Mukai's theorem ([Muk01]) $X$ is isomorphic to the type II Brill-Noether locus:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\Gamma}\left(2, K_{\Gamma}, 3 \mathcal{V}\right)=\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{M}_{\Gamma}\left(2, c_{1}(\mathcal{V})+K_{\Gamma}\right) \mid \mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\Gamma, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*}\right) \geq 3\right\} .
$$

Therefore, the bundle $\mathscr{E}$ is universal also for the moduli space $X \cong$ $\mathrm{M}_{\Gamma}\left(2, K_{\Gamma}, 3 \mathcal{V}\right)$.

Lemma 3.14. Let $L$ be a line contained in $X$. Then we have a functorial exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow A_{L} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{*} \xrightarrow{\zeta_{L}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\prime}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{L}=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(L, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-2)\right)$ has dimension 2. Moreover, the map

$$
\psi: L \mapsto \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)
$$

gives an isomorphism of the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)$ onto a component $W$ of the locus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma) \mid \mathrm{h}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \geq 2\right\} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that, for each $y \in \Gamma$, the sheaf $\mathscr{E}_{y}$ is a globally generated bundle with $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}\right)=1$. Thus, it splits over $L$ as $\mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(1)$. It follows that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)$ is a sheaf concentrated in degree 0 , and its rank equals $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(L, \mathscr{E}_{y}^{*}\right)=1$. Its degree is computed by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula.

To get (3.23), we use (3.7) and (3.8). We have thus to compute the cohomology groups:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1), \mathscr{O}_{X}\right),  \tag{3.25}\\
& \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1), \mathcal{U}\right), \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and note that $\mathcal{U}^{*}$ splits over $L$ as $\mathscr{O}_{L}^{2} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(1)$. So, using Serre duality, we see that both (3.25) and (3.26) vanish for $k \neq 2$, while for $k=2(3.25)$ has dimension 1 and (3.26) has dimension 2. Setting $A_{L}=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(L, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-2)\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1), \mathcal{U}\right)^{*}$, we obtain the functorial resolution (3.23) and $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{L}\right)=2$.

Set $\mathcal{L}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)$, and recall the isomorphism (3.18). Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*},-\right)$ to the long exact sequence (3.23), since $\mathcal{U}$ is exceptional, and both $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)$ vanish, we get a natural isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{L}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathcal{L})\right) \cong A_{L} .
$$

Therefore, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ lies in the locus defined by $(\sqrt{3.24})$, and actually we have $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L})=2$. Moreover, up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar, the morphism $\zeta_{L}$ coincides with the natural evaluation of maps from $\mathcal{U}^{*}$ to $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, the mapping $L \mapsto \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)$ is injective, since $\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$ can be recovered as $\operatorname{cok}\left(\zeta_{L}\right)$.

Now we shall identify the tangent space of $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)$ at the point $[L]$ with that of the component $W$, at the point $[\mathcal{L}]$. Note that the morphism $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\zeta_{L}\right)$ must agree with the natural evaluation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{L} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

of maps from $\mathcal{V}^{*}$ to $\mathcal{L}$. Remark also that the tangent space to $W$ at the point $[\mathcal{L}]$ is computed as the kernel of the map obtained applying the functor $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(-, \mathcal{L})$ to 3.27).

Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(-, \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)$ to $(3.23)$, and using the obvious vanishing $\mathrm{H}^{k}\left(L, \mathscr{O}_{X}(-1)\right)=0$ for all $k$, we obtain a commutative exact diagram:


Here, the kernel (respectively, the cokernel) of $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\zeta_{L}, \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)$ is naturally identified with the tangent space $T_{[L]} \mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}, \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)$, (respectively, with the obstruction space $\left.\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}, \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)\right)$. Thus, the diagram (3.28) allows to identify the tangent space (and the obstruction space) of $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)$ at $[L]$ with those of $W$ at $\mathcal{L}$.

Remark 3.15. Let $L$ be a line contained in $X$ and set $\mathcal{L}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)$. Note that the normal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{W}$ at the point $[\mathcal{L}]$ to the subscheme $W$ of $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$ is naturally identified with $A_{L}^{*} \otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{L}\right)$, where $A_{L}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{L}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{L}\right)=2$ and since $\operatorname{ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{L}\right)=\mathrm{h}^{1}\left(L, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-1)\right)=1$, the sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{W}$ is in fact locally free of rank 2 , and its fibre over $[\mathcal{L}]$ can be identified (up to twist by a line bundle on $W$ ) with $A_{L}^{*}$.

Remark 3.16. It is well-known that, if $X$ is general, then the scheme $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)$ is a smooth irreducible curve, and hence so is $W$.

Lemma 3.17. Let $L$ be a line contained in $X$. Then we have a natural isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{L}\right) \cong A_{L}^{*} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $S_{L}$ of surjective morphisms $\gamma: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{L}$ is open and dense in $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)$. The subscheme $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right) \backslash S_{L}$ is in natural bijection with the length 5 scheme of reducible conics $D \subset X$ which contain L. For a map $\gamma$ with $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right) \backslash S_{L}$, we have $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)=\mathcal{I}_{D}$.

Proof. To get the first statement, we use (3.1) and we obtain the following natural isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{L}\right) & \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{I}_{L} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{*}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{I}_{L} \otimes \mathcal{U}\right) \cong \\
& \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}(L, \mathcal{U}) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(L, \mathcal{U}^{*}(-2)\right)^{*}=A_{L}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let now $\gamma$ be a map in $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{L}\right)$. By stability, $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)$ must be a subsheaf of $\mathcal{I}_{L}$ with trivial determinant. Thus $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)$ is a reflexive sheaf of rank 2 with $c_{1}=-1$, hence $c_{3}(\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)) \geq 0$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)$ is stable, so $c_{2}(\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)) \geq 6$. On the other hand, we have $c_{2}(\operatorname{ker}(\gamma))=$ $8-c_{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)) \leq 7$, so $c_{2}(\operatorname{ker}(\gamma))$ equals 6 or 7 . If $c_{2}(\operatorname{ker}(\gamma))=7$ implies $c_{3}(\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)) \leq-1$ so $\gamma$ is surjective. Then we can assume $c_{2}(\operatorname{ker}(\gamma))=6$ and, by [BF07, Proposition 3.4], we have that $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)$ is a locally free sheaf, so $c_{3}(\operatorname{ker}(\gamma))=0$. This gives $c_{3}(\operatorname{Im}(\gamma))=0$, so $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \cong \mathcal{I}_{D}$, for some conic $D$. This proves the last statement.

Given two non proportional maps $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{L}\right)$, assuming that neither is surjective, we get $\operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \not \equiv \operatorname{Im}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ in view of the vanishing (3.21). Therefore, up to a nonzero scalar, each non surjective map $\gamma$ determines uniquely a conic $D \supset L$. The converse is obvious, so it only remains to check that the subscheme of these maps has length 5 . This is true if $L$ is general, see [Isk78], so we only need to check that the length is always finite. But $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)$ contains no infinite proper subschemes, so all elements $\gamma$ of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{L}\right)$ should give $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma)=\mathcal{I}_{D}$, so $\operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{D}\right)=2$, contradicting Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.18. Let $L$ be a line contained in $X$. Then $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\right)[-1]$ is a line bundle of degree 1 on $\Gamma$.

Proof. Recall that, for each $y \in \Gamma$, the sheaf $\mathscr{E}_{y}$ is a globally generated bundle with $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}\right)=1$. Thus, it splits over $L$ as $\mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(1)$. It follows that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\right)$ is a sheaf concentrated in degree -1 , and its rank equals $\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(L, \mathscr{E}_{y}^{*}\right)=1$. Its degree is computed by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch.

## 4. Stable sheaves of rank 2 with odd determinant

Recall from [BF07] that, for each $c_{2} \geq 7$, there exists a component $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$ of $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$ containing a locally free sheaf $F$ which satisfies:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}^{1}(X, F(-1))=0  \tag{4.1}\\
& \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}(F, F)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the extra assumption $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, F \otimes \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)=0$, for some line $L \subset X$ having normal bundle $\mathscr{O}_{L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$. For $c_{2}=6$, we have $\mathrm{M}(6)=\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6) \cong$ $\Gamma$. For $c_{2} \geq 7, \mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$ is defined recursively as the unique component of $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$ which contains a sheaf $F$ fitting into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is a general sheaf lying in $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}-1\right)$. Here we are going to prove the following main result.

Theorem 4.1. For any integer $c_{2} \geq 7$, there is a birational map $\varphi$, generically defined by $F \mapsto \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$, from $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$ to a generically smooth $\left(2 c_{2}-11\right)$ dimensional component $\mathrm{B}\left(c_{2}\right)$ of the locus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{M}_{\Gamma}\left(c_{2}-6, c_{2}-5\right) \mid \mathrm{h}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \geq c_{2}-6\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We begin with a series of lemmas.
Lemma 4.2. Let $c_{2} \geq 7$, and let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$, satisfying (4.1). Then $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ is a vector bundle on $\Gamma$, of rank $c_{2}-6$ and degree $c_{2}-5$.

Proof. Using stability of $F$ and Riemann-Roch's formula we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{k}(X, F(-1))=0, \quad \text { for all } k \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition (3.4) of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$, the stalk of $\mathcal{H}^{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right)$ over the point $y \in \Gamma$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{k+1}\left(X, \mathscr{E}_{y}^{*} \otimes F\right) \otimes \omega_{\Gamma, y} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us check that (4.6) vanishes for all $y \in \Gamma$ and for $k \neq 0$. For $k=-1$, the statement is clear. Indeed, by stability, any nonzero morphism $\mathscr{E}_{y} \rightarrow F$ would be an isomorphism for $\mathscr{E}_{y}$ is locally free. But $c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}_{y}\right) \neq c_{2}(F)$.

To check the case $k=1$, by Serre duality we can show $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(F, \mathscr{E}_{y}\right)=0$. Setting $E=\mathscr{E}_{y}$ in (3.17), and applying $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}(F,-)$, in view of (4.5) we get:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{k}^{1}\left(F, \mathscr{E}_{y}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(F, K^{*}\right)=0
$$

where the last equality holds by stability. Finally, 4.6 holds for $k=2$ again by stability.

We have thus proved that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ is a vector bundle on $\Gamma$. By RiemannRoch we compute its rank as $\operatorname{rk}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right)=\chi\left(F \otimes \mathscr{E}_{y}\right)=c_{2}-6$. Using Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch's formula, one can easily compute the degree of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $d \geq 7$, and let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$, satisfying (4.1). Then we have a functorial resolution of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow A_{F} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{*} \xrightarrow{\zeta_{F}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{F}=\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}(F, \mathcal{U})^{*}$ has dimension $c_{2}-6$.
Proof. To write down (4.7), we use the exact triangle (3.7). We must calculate the groups $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(F, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}(F, \mathcal{U})$ for all $k$. We have proved that the former vanishes for all $k$, see (4.5).

If $k=0,3$, we easily get $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(F, \mathcal{U}_{+}\right)=0$ by stability of the sheaves $\mathcal{U}_{+}$ and $F$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}(F,-)$ to (3.1) we get $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}(F, \mathcal{U}) \cong$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(F, \mathcal{U}^{*}\right)=0$, where the vanishing follows from the stability of $F$ and $\mathcal{U}$. By Riemann-Roch we get $\operatorname{ext}_{X}^{2}(F, \mathcal{U})=c_{2}-6$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $c_{2} \geq 8$, and let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$, satisfying (4.1). Then we a natural isomorphism:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{F} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right)\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right)\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, the natural map $\zeta_{F}$ in 4.7) is uniquely determined up to a nonzero scalar.

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.3, we have the resolution 4.7). We apply to it the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*},-\right)$, and we recall that $\mathcal{U}^{*}$ is exceptional. In fact, we are going to show:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=0, \quad \text { for } k=0,2,3 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the case $k=0$ proves the lemma. By contradiction, we consider a nonzero map $\gamma: \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow F$. By the argument of Lemma 3.8 we have $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma) \cong \mathscr{O}_{X}$, so $c_{2}(\operatorname{Im}(\gamma))=8$, which is impossible for $c_{2}(F) \geq 9$. For $c_{2}(F)=8$, note that $c_{3}(\operatorname{Im}(\gamma))=2$ gives $c_{2}(\operatorname{cok}(\gamma))=0, c_{3}(\operatorname{cok}(\gamma))=-2$ which is also impossible.

Note that it is now immediate to show 4.10 also for $k=2,3$. Indeed, for $k \geq 2$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{k}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right), \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)\right)=0
$$

since $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ are sheaves on a curve.
Lemma 4.5. Let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}\left(2,1, c_{2}\right)$ satisfying 4.1), and set $\mathcal{F}=$ $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F})=c_{2}-6$. Further, if $F$ satisfies (4.2), then the natural map:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \omega_{\Gamma}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is injective.
Proof. Recall the notation $A_{F}=\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}(F, \mathcal{U})^{*}$. Note that, by (4.8), 4.9) and 3.18 we have natural isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{F} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{F}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{F}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have seen that $A_{F}$ has dimension $c_{2}-6$.
We have thus proved the first claim, and the map $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\left(\zeta_{F}\right)$ must agree up to a nonzero scalar with the natural evaluation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ev}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}\left(\mathcal{V}^{*}, \mathcal{F}\right) \otimes \mathcal{V}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have thus a commutative exact diagram:


Therefore, we have the natural isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}(F, F) \cong \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\zeta_{L}, F\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(\mathrm{ev}, \mathcal{F})\right) \\
& \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{2}(F, F) \cong \operatorname{cok}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{1}\left(\zeta_{L}, F\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{cok}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(\mathrm{ev}, \mathcal{F})\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the map $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(\mathrm{ev}, \mathcal{F})$ is surjective as soon as $F$ satisfies 4.2). This implies our claim, since the map 4.11) is the transpose of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(\mathrm{ev}, \mathcal{F})$.

We are now in position to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall that the variety $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$ contains a vector bundle $F$ satisfying (4.1], hence by semicontinuity Lemma 4.2 applies to an open dense subset of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}\left(c_{2}\right)$. Thus, for any sheaf $F$ in this open set, $\mathcal{F}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ is a vector bundle on $\Gamma$ of rank $c_{2}-6$ and degree $c_{2}-5$, and it satisfies $\mathrm{h}^{0}(\Gamma, \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{F})=c_{2}-6$ by by Lemma 4.5 .

Let us now prove that, if $F$ is general in $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$, then the vector bundle $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ is stable over $\Gamma$. In fact we prove that, if $F$ is a sheaf fitting into (4.3), and $G$ is general in $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}-1\right)$, then $\mathcal{F}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ is stable over $\Gamma$. Since stability is an open property by Mar76, this will imply that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\prime}(F)$ is stable for $F$ general in $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$. By induction, we may assume that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G)$ is a stable vector bundle, of rank $c_{2}-7$ and degree $c_{2}-6$.

Applying $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}$to 4.3), we get an exact sequence of bundles on $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\right)[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\right)[-1]$ is a line bundle of degree 1 by Lemma 3.18. Note that this extension must be nonsplit, for it corresponds to a nontrivial element in:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G), \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}\right)[-1]\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(G, \mathscr{O}_{L}\right)
$$

Assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{F}$ is not stable, so it contains a subsheaf $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mu(\mathcal{K}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{F})$ and $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{K})<\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F})$. The sequence (4.14) induces an exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0,
$$

with $\mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime} \subset \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G)$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}[-1]\right)$. If $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=0$, then $\mu(\mathcal{K})=$ $\mu\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}\right)<\mu\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G)\right)$ for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G)$ is stable and (4.14) is nonsplit. But since $\mu\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G)\right)-\mu(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{\left(c_{2}-5\right)\left(c_{2}-6\right)}$, we have that $\mu(\mathcal{K})$ cannot fit in the interval $\left[\mu(\mathcal{F}), \mu\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\prime}(G)\right)\left[\right.\right.$. If $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right)=1$, one can easily apply a similar argument.

We have thus proved that an open dense subset of $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$ maps into the locus defined by (4.4). This locus is equipped with a natural structure of a subvariety of the moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{\Gamma}\left(c_{2}-6, c_{2}-5\right)$. Its tangent space at the point $[\mathcal{F}]$ is thus $\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(\mathrm{ev}, \mathcal{F})\right)$, while the obstruction sits in $\operatorname{cok}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(\mathrm{ev}, \mathcal{F})\right)$, where ev is defined by $(4.12)$. Notice that, by Lemma 4.5 , the latter space vanishes if $F$ satisfies $\sqrt{4.2}$ ), so $\mathrm{B}\left(c_{2}\right)$ is generically isomorphic to the $(2 d-11)$ dimensional variety $\mathrm{M}\left(c_{2}\right)$.

## 5. The moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ as a blowing up of $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$

In this section, we set up a more detailed study of the moduli space $M_{X}(2,1,7)$. This space can be analyzed under no generality assumptions. In fact, the map $\varphi$ sends the space $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ to the abelian variety $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$, In turn, $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$ contains a copy of the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)$, via the map $\psi$ (see Lemma 3.14), as a subvariety of codimension 2 . The relation between these varieties is given by the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.1. The mapping $\varphi: F \mapsto \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ gives an isomorphism of the moduli space $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ to the blowing up of $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$ along the subvariety $W=\psi\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)\right)$. The exceptional divisor consists of the sheaves in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ which are not globally generated.

We will need some lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. Let $F$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$. Then, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{k}(X, F(-1))=\mathrm{H}^{k}(X, F)=0, \quad \text { for } k=1,2 . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, either $F$ is a locally free, or there exists an exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is a bundle in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6)$ and $L$ is a line contained in $Y$.
Furthermore, the following statements are equivalent:
i) the sheaf $F$ is not globally generated;
ii) the group $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)$ is nontrivial;
iii) there exists a line $L \subset X$, a sheaf $I$ in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,8,2)$ and two exact sequence:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,  \tag{5.3}\\
& 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The first two statements are taken from [BF07, Proposition 3.5]. Clearly condition (iii) implies both conditions (iii) and (ii).

Let us prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Consider a nonzero map $\gamma: \mathcal{U}^{*} \rightarrow F$. The argument of Lemma 3.8 implies ker $\gamma \cong \mathscr{O}_{X}$ and the cokernel $T$ of $\gamma$ has $c_{1}(T)=0, c_{2}(T)=-1, c_{3}(T)=-1$, so $T \cong \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)$, for some line $L \subset X$, if $T$ is supported on a Cohen-Macaulay curve. On the other hand, from (5.3) we get $\mathrm{H}^{1}(X, I(-1))=0$, so by $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, F(-1))=0$, we have $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, T(-1))=$ 0 , and we are done.

It remains to show (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (5.4): Assume that $F$ is not globally generated, that is the evaluation map ev : $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow F$ is not surjective. Set $K=\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{ev}), I=\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{ev})$ and $T=\operatorname{cok}(\mathrm{ev})$. Now it is enough to prove the following facts:

$$
c_{2}(T)=-1, \quad c_{3}(T)=-1
$$

the sheaf $T$ has no isolated or embedded points.
The stability of $F$ easily implies $\operatorname{rk}(I)=2$ and $c_{1}(I)=1$. Since $T$ is a torsion sheaf with $c_{1}(T)=0$, we have $c_{2}(T)=-\ell \leq 0$. Looking at the sheaf $K$, we see that it is reflexive of rank 3 with:

$$
c_{1}(K)=-1, \quad c_{2}(K)=9-\ell, \quad c_{3}(K)=c_{3}(T)-2+\ell
$$

Thus, we are now reduced to prove $c_{3}(K)=-2$ and $\ell=1$. By Riemann-Roch, we compute $\chi(K)=\frac{1}{2} c_{3}(K)+1$. By definition of the evaluation map ev, taking global sections of the composition:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow I \hookrightarrow F
$$

we obtain an isomorphism. This implies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{0}(X, K)=\mathrm{H}^{1}(X, K)=0 \\
& \mathrm{H}^{0}(X, T) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}(X, I) \cong \mathrm{H}^{2}(X, K)
\end{aligned}
$$

and one can easily see $\mathrm{H}^{3}(X, K)=0$.
We postpone the proof of the following claim, and we assume it for the time being.

Claim 5.3. We have $c_{2}(K) \in\{8,9\}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(X, K)=0$.
Note that the second statement of the above claim proves that $\mathrm{H}^{k}(X, K)=0$ for all $k$. Hence we have $\chi(K)=0$, which implies $c_{3}(K)=-2$. Then, by the first statement of Claim 5.3, we obtain $\ell=1$, for otherwise $T$ would be zero. This proves (5.5). Note that (5.6) follows from the vanishing of (5.8). This finishes the proof.
(i) $\Rightarrow(5.3):$ Note that $\chi\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, K\right)=-1$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{3}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, K\right)=0$ by stability, we get $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, K\right) \neq 0$. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*},-\right)$ to the sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}(X, F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0
$$

one easily obtains that the group $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, I\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, K\right)$ contains a nontrivial morphism $\alpha$. Composing $\alpha$ with the injection of $I$ in $F$, we see that $\alpha$ is in fact surjective, so we get (5.3).

Proof of Claim 5.3. We observe that the restriction of $K$ to a general hyperplane section $S$ is stable, using Hoppe's criterion. Indeed, we have $\mathrm{H}^{0}(S, K)=0$ by (5.7), while the group $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(S, \wedge^{2} K\right)$ vanishes since it is a subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(S, K) \otimes \mathrm{H}^{0}(S, F)=0$. Then from (2.2) it follows that $c_{2}(K) \geq 8$. This proves the first assertion.

Let us now show the second one. Tensoring (3.2) by $K(1)$, we are reduced to show the vanishing of the groups $\mathrm{H}^{2}(X, K(1))$ and $\mathrm{H}^{1}(S, K(1))$.

Looking at the first one, assume by contradiction that there exists a nontrivial extension of the form:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(-1) \rightarrow \tilde{K} \rightarrow K(1) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\tilde{K}$ is a rank 4 vector bundle with $c_{1}(\tilde{K})=1$ and $c_{2}(\tilde{K})<0$. Then $\tilde{K}$ is not semistable by Bogomolov's inequality (2.1). By considering the possible values of the slope of a destabilizing subsheaf of $\tilde{K}$, one sees that Harder-Narasimhan filtration has the form $0 \subset K_{1} \subset \tilde{K}$ and $Q=\tilde{K} / K_{1}$ is semistable, and $\mu\left(K_{1}\right)$ can be either $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{3}$. Then by Bogomolov's inequality we have $c_{2}\left(K_{1}\right) \geq 0$. In any case $c_{1}(Q)=0$, so $c_{2}(Q) \geq 0$. This contradicts $c_{2}(\tilde{K})<0$.

Let us now turn to the group $\mathrm{H}^{1}(S, K(1))$, and observe that it is dual to $\operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{1}\left(K_{S}(1), \mathscr{O}_{S}\right)$. Assuming it to be nontrivial, we get a nonsplit exact sequence on $S$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{S} \rightarrow \widetilde{K_{S}} \rightarrow K_{S}(1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{K_{S}}$ is a rank 4 vector bundle on $S$ with $c_{1}\left(\widetilde{K_{S}}\right)=2$ and $c_{2}\left(\widetilde{K_{S}}\right) \leq 25$. Then $\widetilde{K_{S}}$ is not stable by (2.2). This time one can check that the only possible destabilizing subsheaf $K_{1}$ must have slope $\frac{1}{2}$. The same happens to $Q=\widetilde{K_{S}} / K_{1}$. By semistability of $K_{1}$ and $Q$ one has

$$
c_{2}\left(\widetilde{K_{S}}\right)=c_{2}\left(K_{1}\right)+c_{2}(Q)+16 \geq 28
$$

a contradiction.
The following lemma is now straightforward.

Lemma 5.4. The map $\varphi: F \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$ sends $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ to $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$. If the sheaf $F$ is globally generated, then $\varphi$ is a local isomorphism around $F$.
Proof. Set $\mathcal{F}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(F)$. In view of (5.1) and Lemma 4.2, the map $\varphi$ takes values in $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$. Assume now $F$ globally generated. By Lemma 5.2 we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=0$, so by applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*},-\right)$ to the resolution (4.7) we get 4.8), from which it follows that $\varphi$ is injective at $F$.

Recall that $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{k}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=0$ for $k=2,3$, and by Riemann-Roch we have $\chi\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=0$. Thus we must also have:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}^{*}, F\right)=0
$$

and, by the infinitesimal analysis of Lemma 4.5, the differential of $\varphi$ at $[F]$ induces an isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}(F, F) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}), F) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\Gamma}^{1}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Gamma, \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}\right)
$$

Recall that we denote by $A_{L}$ the 2 dimensional vector space $\operatorname{Hom}_{X}\left(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I}_{L}\right)^{*}$.

Lemma 5.5. Let $L$ be a line contained in $X$. Then there is a natural injective map $\theta: \mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right) \mapsto \mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ such that any sheaf $F$ in the image of $\theta$ sits into (5.4), for some sheaf I sitting in (5.3).

Proof. Let us define the map $\theta: \mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$. In view of lemma 3.17, for any element $[\gamma] \in \mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)$, we have two alternatives:
i) the map $\gamma$ is surjective;
ii) the image of the map $\gamma$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_{C}$, for some reducible conic $C \subset X$ which is the union of $L$ and another line $L^{\prime} \subset X$.
If (i) takes place, we define $\theta([\gamma])$ as the dual of $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)$. Note that this correspondence is one to one. Indeed, assuming $\theta\left(\left[\gamma_{1}\right]\right)=\theta\left(\left[\gamma_{2}\right]\right)$, we would have $G_{1}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \cong G_{2}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$. But the isomorphism $G_{1} \cong G_{2}$ would then lift to an isomorphism $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, for $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{L}, \mathcal{U}\right)=0$. Since both $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{L}$ are simple, this would then mean that $\gamma_{1}$ is a multiple of $\gamma_{2}$.

Assume now that (ii) takes place. We have thus an exact sequence of the form 3.20 , with $\beta^{\top}=\gamma$. Since $C$ contains $L$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L}(-1) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some line $L^{\prime} \subset X$. Dualizing (3.20) one obtains (3.14). We define thus a surjective map as the composition $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)^{*} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{C} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}$, and we let $\theta([\gamma])$ be the kernel of this map.

To prove that $\theta$ is injective also in this case, observe that the map $\gamma$ is determined up to a nonzero scalar by $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)$ and by $L^{\prime}$, since $\operatorname{Ext}_{X}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C}, \mathcal{U}\right)=$ 0 . On the other hand, there is a unique (up to a scalar) surjection $\operatorname{ker}(\gamma)^{*} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}$, so $\theta$ is injective.

Finally, it is clear by the definition that in both cases (ii) and (iii), the sheaf defined by $\theta([\gamma])$ sits into 5.4$)$.

Lemma 5.6. Let $G$ be a sheaf in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$, and assume that $G$ is not globally generated. Then the set of sheaves $F$ in $\mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,7)$ such that $\varphi(F)=$ $\varphi(G)$ is identified with $\theta\left(\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)\right)$, for some line $L \subset X$.

The subscheme of those sheaves $F$ which are not locally free, and satisfy $\varphi(F)=\varphi(G)$, has length 5 .

Proof. In view of Lemma 5.2, there exists a line $L \subset X$ such that $G$ is not globally generated over $L$, i.e. we have the exact sequence (5.4), with $F$ replaced by $G$. Applying the functor $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}$to this exact sequence, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(G)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}(G) \cong \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{!}\left(\mathscr{O}_{L}(-1)\right)=\psi([L]) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi$ is a local isomorphism on the set of globally generated sheaves, any sheaf $F$ with $\varphi(F)=\varphi(G)$ must not be globally generated. Dualizing (5.4) and (5.3) we obtain $F^{*} \cong I^{*}$ and:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow F^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \stackrel{\delta}{\rightarrow} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{X}^{1}\left(I, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{X}^{1}\left(F, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{E} x t_{X}^{1}\left(I, \mathscr{O}_{X}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{L} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We have here the following two alternatives.
a) the sheaf $F$ is locally free, and $\operatorname{Im}(\delta) \cong \mathcal{I}_{L}$;
b) we have $F / F^{* *} \cong \mathscr{O}_{L^{\prime}}$ for some line $L^{\prime} \subset X$, and by (5.2) this implies:

$$
F^{*}(1) \in \mathrm{M}_{X}(2,1,6), \quad \operatorname{Im}(\delta) \cong \mathcal{I}_{C}
$$

for some reducible conic $C \subset X$, and (5.12) becomes of the form (5.10).
We let $\gamma$ be the restriction of $\delta$ to its image $\mathcal{I}_{L}$. Clearly, if (a) takes place, then $F$ is isomorphic to $\theta([\gamma])$, and $\gamma$ is as in case (i) of Lemma 5.5.

Similarly, if (b) takes place, then $\gamma$ is as in case (ii) of Lemma 5.5, and $F$ is isomorphic to $\theta([\gamma])$. The set of sheaves $F$ which are not locally free and with $\varphi(F)=\varphi(G)$ is thus in natural bijection with the set of elements $[\gamma]$ in $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)$ such that $\gamma$ is not surjective. By Lemma 3.17, this is identified with the set of reducible conics which contain $L$, which has length 5 .

We are now in position to prove our main result.
Proof of Theorem 5.1. We have seen in Lemma5.4 that $\varphi$ is a local isomorphism along the open set of globally generated sheaves.

On the other hand, the map $\varphi$ equips the subscheme of sheaves which are not globally generated with a structure of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ bundle over $\psi\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}^{0}(X)\right)$. Indeed, if a sheaf $G$ is not globally generated, by (5.11), $\varphi(G)$ lies in $W$. Moreover by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6, if $\varphi(G)=\psi([L])$, then $\varphi\left(\theta\left(\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)\right)\right)=$ $\psi([L])$.

Thus, it only remains to provide a natural identification of the fibre of $\varphi(G)$ with the projectivized normal bundle of $\psi([L])$ in $\operatorname{Pic}^{2}(\Gamma)$. By Remark 3.15 and Lemma 3.14, the latter is functorially identified with $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)$. On the other hand, by Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 , via the map $\theta$ the former is also naturally identified with the projective line $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{L}\right)$. This concludes the proof.
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