

Enhanced HBVMs for the numerical solution of Hamiltonian problems with multiple invariants

Luigi Brugnano and Yajuan Sun

Citation: AIP Conference Proceedings **1558**, 754 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4825603 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4825603 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/proceeding/aipcp/1558?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Enhanced HBVMs for the Numerical Solution of Hamiltonian Problems with Multiple Invariants

Luigi Brugnano* and Yajuan Sun[†]

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "U. Dini", Università di Firenze, Italy †Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Abstract. Recently, the class of energy-conserving Runge-Kutta methods named Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs), has been proposed for the efficient solution of Hamiltonian problems, as well as for other types of conservative problems. In this paper, we report further advances concerning such methods, resulting in their *enhanced* version (Enhanced HBVMs), or EHBVMs). The basic theoretical results are sketched, along with a few numerical tests on a Hamiltonian problem, taken from the literature, possessing multiple invariants.

Keywords: Hamiltonian problems, Energy-conserving methods, Multiple invariants, Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods, Enhanced Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods. PACS: 02.60.-x; 45.20.dh; 45.20.Jj; 02.30.Hq; 02.70.Jn; 02.70.Bf. MSC: 65P10; 65L05.

INTRODUCTION

In a recent series of papers [6, 7, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11] (see also [3, 4]), the class of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs) has been proposed for the efficient numerical solution of Hamiltonian problems, i.e., problems in the form

$$y' = J\nabla H(y), \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}^{2m},$$
(1)

where $y = (q, p)^T$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ -I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -J^T = -J^{-1}$, and $H(y) \equiv H(q, p)$ is the *Hamiltonian* (also referred to as the *energy*). The system turns out to be *energy-preserving*. Indeed, since J is skew-symmetric, from (1) one has that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}H(\mathbf{y}(t)) = \nabla H(\mathbf{y}(t))^T \mathbf{y}'(t) = \nabla H(\mathbf{y}(t))^T J \nabla H(\mathbf{y}(t)) = 0,$$

which implies that $H(y(t)) \equiv H(y(0))$ for all $t \ge 0$. The basic idea on which HBVMs rely is that of *discrete line integral*, introduced in [15, 16, 17]. Such a tool has also been extended to different kinds of conservative problems [1, 2] (see also [19]). We here use the approach of discrete line integrals, to derive the *enhanced* version of HBVMs, able to cope with problems, in the form (1), possessing additional (functionally independent) invariants, besides the Hamiltonian. In more details, let us assume that

$$L: \mathbb{R}^{2m} \to \mathbb{R}^{\nu} \tag{2}$$

is a set of smooth invariants of system (1). Then, one has

$$\nabla L(y)^T J \nabla H(y) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{2m},$$

where $\nabla L(y)^T$ is the Jacobian of *L*. The *ehanced* version of HBVMs, which we call *Enhanced* HBVMs (*EHBVMs*), will be able, under suitable mild hypotheses, to provide a discrete solution for which all the invariants (2) are conserved, besides the Hamiltonian. Full theoretical details on EHBVMs can be found in [14].

MUTIPLE INVARIANTS PRESERVING HBVMS

Let σ be a polynomial of degree *s*, approximating the solution of (1) on the interval [0,h], in the form

$$\sigma'(ch) = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} P_j(c)\gamma_j(\sigma), \qquad c \in [0,1], \qquad \text{with} \quad s > \nu,$$
(3)

11th International Conference of Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics 2013 AIP Conf. Proc. 1558, 754-757 (2013); doi: 10.1063/1.4825603 © 2013 AIP Publishing LLC 978-0-7354-1184-5/\$30.00 where $\{P_i\}_{i \ge 0}$ is the family of normalized and shifted Legendre polynomials, orthonormal on the interval [0, 1], i.e.,

$$P_j \in \Pi_j, \qquad \int_0^1 P_i(x) P_j(x) \mathrm{d}x = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \forall i, j \ge 0.$$

We require that vector coefficients $\gamma_j(\sigma)$ in (3) satisfy

$$\gamma_j(\sigma) = \eta_j J \int_0^1 P_j(\tau) \nabla H(\sigma(\tau h)) d\tau \equiv \eta_j \tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma), \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j = 0, \dots, s - 1.$$
(4)

Imposing $\sigma(0) = y_0$, and defining the new approximation as $y_1 \equiv \sigma(h) \approx y(h)$, provides energy-conservation, since due to the skew-symmetry of matrix *J*, one obtains [8, 11]:

$$H(y_1) - H(y_0) = H(\sigma(h)) - H(\sigma(0)) = \int_0^h \nabla H(\sigma(t))^T \sigma'(t) dt$$

= $h \int_0^1 \nabla H(\sigma(\tau h))^T \sigma'(\tau h) d\tau = h \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \eta_j \tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)_j^T J \tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma) = 0.$

Taking $\eta_i = 1$, for $0 \le i \le s - 1$, provides the energy-conserving methods named HBVMs [8]. Here we set, instead,

$$\eta_j = 1, \quad 0 \le j \le s - v - 1, \qquad \eta_j = 1 - h^{2(s-1-j)} \alpha_j, \quad s - v \le j \le s - 1,$$
(5)

with the coefficients α_i determined by imposing the conservation of the additional invariants (2) at y_1 :

$$\begin{split} L(y_1) - L(y_0) &= L(\sigma(h)) - L(\sigma(0)) = h \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \left[\int_0^1 P_j(\tau) \nabla L(\sigma(\tau h)) \mathrm{d}\tau \right]^T \gamma_j(\sigma) \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\equiv h \left[\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \phi_j(\sigma)^T \tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma) - \sum_{j=s-\nu}^{s-1} h^{2(s-1-j)} \alpha_j \phi_j(\sigma)^T \tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma) \right], \end{split}$$

where

$$\phi_j(\sigma) = \int_0^1 P_j(\tau) \nabla L(\sigma(\tau h)) \mathrm{d}\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times \nu}, \qquad j \ge 0, \tag{6}$$

and $\{\tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma)\}_{j=0}^{s-1}$ is defined according to (4). Consequently, $L(y_1) = L(y_0)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=s-\nu}^{s-1} h^{2(s-1-j)} \alpha_j \phi_j(\sigma)^T \tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \phi_j(\sigma)^T \tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma).$$
(7)

By defining the matrix

$$\Gamma(\sigma) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} h^{2(\nu-1)}\phi_{s-\nu}(\sigma)^T \tilde{\gamma}_{s-\nu}(\sigma), & \dots, & h^0\phi_{s-1}(\sigma)^T \tilde{\gamma}_{s-1}(\sigma) \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu \times \nu}$$

and the vectors

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{s-\nu}, & \dots, & \alpha_{s-1} \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \mathbf{b}(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \phi_j(\sigma)^T \tilde{\gamma}_j(\sigma) \quad \in \quad \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$$

equation (7) can be recast in vector form as

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{b}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}). \tag{8}$$

The following results hold true [14].

Lemma 1 With reference to (8), one has that $\mathbf{b}(\sigma) = O(h^{2s})$ and $\Gamma(\sigma) = O(h^{2s-2})$.

Theorem 1 Assume that matrix $\Gamma(\sigma)$ is nonsingular. Then, the vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in (8) has $O(h^2)$ entries. The polynomial approximation σ defined by (3) with η_j in form of (5) conserves all the invariants and, moreover,

$$\sigma(h) - y(h) = O(h^{2s+1})$$

DISCRETIZATION AND EHBVM(k, s) METHODS

It is noticed that the previous formulae provide an effective method only after that the integrals in (4) and (6) are approximated by using a suitable quadrature formula. For this purpose, we choose the numerical integration formula defined at the *k* Gauss-Legendre points $0 < c_1 < \cdots < c_k < 1$. This leads to a new polynomial approximation of degree *s*, say *u*, defined by

$$u(ch) = y_0 + h \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \int_0^c P_j(x) dx \,\hat{\eta}_j \,\hat{\gamma}_j$$

$$\equiv y_0 + h \left[\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \int_0^c P_j(x) dx \,\hat{\gamma}_j - \sum_{j=s-\nu}^{s-1} \int_0^c P_j(x) dx \, h^{2(s-1-j)} \hat{\alpha}_j \,\hat{\gamma}_j \right], \qquad c \in [0,1],$$
(9)

where $\hat{\gamma}_j$, $\hat{\eta}_j$, and $\hat{\alpha}_j$ are the approximations to γ_j , η_j , and α_j , respectively, obtained by using the given quadrature formula $(c_\ell, b_\ell)_{\ell=1}^k$. Observe that $u(0) = y_0$. Setting $y_1 \equiv u(h)$ and $u_\ell = u(c_\ell h)$, $\ell = 1, ..., k$, from (9) one has:

$$y_1 \equiv u(h) = y_0 + h\hat{\gamma}_0 = y_0 + h\sum_{\ell=1}^k b_\ell J \nabla H(u_\ell).$$
(10)

Definition 1 We name Enhanced HBVM(k, s) (in short, EHBVM(k, s)), the methods defined by (9)-(10).

The following results hold true [14].

Theorem 2 Assuming that both H and L are suitably regular, for all $k \ge s$ the numerical solution generated by a *EHBVM*(k, s) method satisfies

$$y_1 - y(h) = O(h^{2s+1}).$$

That is, the method has order 2s.

Theorem 3 Assume that the invariants (2) of problem (1) are polynomials of degree less than or equal to $\mu = \lfloor 2k/s \rfloor$. Then, an EHBVM(k,s) method is invariants-conserving. Moreover, for all general and suitably regular L, one has

$$L(y_1) - L(y_0) = O(h^{2k+1})$$

Remark 1 As a consequence, even though EHBVM(k,s) has order 2s, one can recover a practical invariantconservation (i.e., to within machine round-off), provided that k is large enough.

We end this section by stating the following Runge-Kutta type formulation of a EHBVM(k, s) method [14]:

$$\frac{\mathbf{c} \mid \mathscr{I}_s \Sigma_s \mathscr{P}_s^T \Omega}{\mid \mathbf{b}^T},$$

where, as usual, $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_k)^T$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)^T$ are the abscissae and weights vectors, respectively, and

$$\mathscr{P}_{s} = \left(P_{j-1}(c_{i})\right), \ \mathscr{I}_{s} = \left(\int_{0}^{c_{i}} P_{j-1}(x) \mathrm{d}x\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times s}, \quad \Sigma_{s} = \mathrm{diag}(1, \hat{\eta}_{1}, \dots, \hat{\eta}_{s-1}), \quad \Omega = \mathrm{diag}(b_{1}, \dots, b_{k}).$$

In particular, when k = s and $\hat{\eta}_i = 1, j = 1, \dots, s-1$, one retrieves the s-stage Gauss method.

NUMERICAL TESTS

We consider the problem defined by the Hamiltonian ([18], see also [13])

$$H(q,p) = \frac{1}{2}p^T p + \left(q^T q\right)^2, \qquad q, p \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$
(11)

admitting the angular momentum, $L(q,p) = q_1p_2 - q_2p_1$, as a further invariant. In Table 1 we list the obtained numerical results, in terms of conservation of the invariants and solution error, by using the following 4-th order methods: the symplectic 2-stages Gauss method which, evidently, will preserve the angular momentum but not the energy; the energy-conserving HBVM(4,2) method which, however, will not conserve the angular momentum; the fully-conserving EHBVM(4,2) method, conserving both invariants. The obtained results clearly confirm the effectiveness of the new methods.

	2-stage Gauss			HBVM(4,2)			EHBVM(4,2)		
h	e_H	e_L	e_{sol}	e_H	e_L	e_{sol}	e_H	e_L	e_{sol}
10^{-1}	2.05e-04	6.25e-16	1.08e-02	4.44e-15	8.86e-07	7.17e-03	5.20e-14	1.53e-15	2.36e-03
$2^{-1} \cdot 10^{-1}$	1.26e-05	9.71e-16	6.83e-04	1.87e-14	5.55e-08	4.55e-04	4.53e-14	1.19e-15	1.51e-04
$2^{-2} \cdot 10^{-1}$	7.82e-07	1.47e-15	4.28e-05	7.11e-15	3.47e-09	2.86e-05	4.26e-14	1.14e-15	9.50e-06
$2^{-3} \cdot 10^{-1}$	4.88e-08	1.42e-15	2.67e-06	1.07e-14	2.17e-10	1.79e-06	2.04e-14	2.64e-15	5.95e-07
$2^{-4} \cdot 10^{-1}$	3.05e-09	2.75e-15	1.67e-07	9.77e-15	1.36e-11	1.12e-07	1.42e-14	3.64e-15	3.72e-08

TABLE 1. Numerical result for problem (11), with initial point is $q^0 = (1, 1)^T$, $p^0 = (10^{-1}, 0)^T$, and integration interval $[0, 10^2]$; e_H is the Hamiltonian error; e_L is the error in the angular momentum; e_{sol} is the error in the computed solution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The second author was supported by the Foundation for Innovative Research Groups of the NNSFC (11021101).

REFERENCES

- L. Brugnano, M. Calvo, J.I. Montijano, L. Ràndez. Energy preserving methods for Poisson systems. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 236 (2012) 3890–3904.
- L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. Line Integral Methods which preserve all invariants of conservative problems. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 236 (2012) 3905–3919.
- L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. Recent Advances in the Numerical Solution of Conservative Problems. AIP Conf. Proc. 1493 (2012) 175–182.
- 4. L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. Geometric Integration by Playing with Matrices. AIP Conf. Proc. 1479 (2012) 16-19.
- 5. L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, T. Susca. Numerical comparisons between Gauss-Legendre methods and Hamiltonian BVMs defined over Gauss points. *Monografias de la Real Acedemia de Ciencias de Zaragoza* **33** (2010) 95–112.
- 6. L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Analisys of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs) for the numerical solution of polynomial Hamiltonian dynamical systems. (2009) arXiv:0909.5659v1
- 7. L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Hamiltonian BVMs (HBVMs): A family of "Drift Free" methods for integrating polynomial Hamiltonian problems. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1168** (2009) 715–718.
- L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (Energy Preserving Discrete Line Methods). JNAIAM J. Numer. Anal. Ind. Appl. Math. 5,1-2 (2010) 17–37.
- L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. A note on the efficient implementation of Hamiltonian BVMs. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 236 (2011) 375–383.
- L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. The lack of continuity and the role of infinite and infinitesimal in numerical methods for ODEs: the case of symplecticity. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012) 8053–8063.
- 11. L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. A simple framework for the derivation and analysis of effective one-step methods for ODEs. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2012) 8475–8485.
- 12. L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. A two-step, fourth-order method with energy preserving properties. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **183** (2012) 1860–1868.
- L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Energy and quadratic invariants preserving integrators based upon Gauss collocation formulae. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 50, No. 6 (2012) 2897–2916.
- 14. L. Brugnano, Y. Sun. Multiple invariants conserving Runge-Kutta type methods for Hamiltonian problems. 2013 (*submitted*) arXiv:1302.1678
- F. Iavernaro, B. Pace. s-Stage trapezoidal methods for the conservation of Hamiltonian functions of polynomial type. AIP Conf. Proc. 936 (2007) 603–606.
- F. Iavernaro, B. Pace. Conservative Block-Boundary Value Methods for the solution of polynomial Hamiltonian systems. AIP Conf. Proc. 1048 (2008) 888–891.
- 17. F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. High-order symmetric schemes for the energy conservation of polynomial Hamiltonian problems. JNAIAM J. Numer. Anal. Ind. Appl. Math. 4,1-2 (2009) 87–101.
- A.J. Maciejewski, M. Przybylska. Darboux Polynomials and First Integrals of Natural Polynomial Hamiltonian Systems. Phys. Lett. A 326 (2004) 219–226.
- 19. W. Tang, Y. Sun. Time finite element methods: a unified framework for numerical discretizations of ODEs. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219** (2012) 2158–2179.