TRANSACTIONS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 356, Number 11, Pages 4643-4653 S 0002-9947(04)03506-8 Article electronically published on January 23, 2004

VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS, ALMOST EVERYWHERE SOLUTIONS AND EXPLICIT FORMULAS

BERNARD DACOROGNA AND PAOLO MARCELLINI

ABSTRACT. Consider the differential inclusion $Du \in E$ in \mathbb{R}^n . We exhibit an explicit solution that we call *fundamental*. It also turns out to be a *viscosity* solution when properly defining this notion. Finally, we consider a Dirichlet problem associated to the differential inclusion and we give an iterative procedure for finding a solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Existence of *almost everywhere* solutions of the first order Dirichlet problem related to *implicit differential equations* of the type

(1)
$$\begin{cases} F(Du(x)) = 0, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has recently been extensively studied in the book [6] by the authors. Here $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and we look for a Lipschitz-continuous solution $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. A wide literature on this subject can be found in [6], not only for scalar problems such as this one, but also for vector-valued solutions of first order systems related to maps $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^N$, for some $m, N \geq 1$.

Existence of viscosity solutions of the Dirichlet problem (1) is now well established. It has been studied by many authors starting with Hopf, Lax, Kruzkov and Crandall-Lions; see for example [1] or [6] for more historical comments. One of the earliest and still one of the most complete monographs on the subject is [10] by P.L. Lions. The research in this field remains very active; in particular H. Ischii and P. Loreti [8], motivated by an optimization problem, recently gave an existence result of viscosity solutions of the Dirichlet problem (1). See also [2] and [9].

In this paper we give some existence results, either in the case of *almost every*where solutions, or, when possible, of viscosity solutions. One of our aims is to give some constructive explicit formulas (cf. Theorems 1 and 6). Moreover, if the geometry of the set Ω and the assumptions on the function F make it possible, following [3] we give (cf. Corollary 8) an explicit formula for a viscosity solution of the Dirichlet problem (1), simply in terms of sup and inf. Otherwise, with general F and Ω , we propose, in Section 4, an iteration scheme for characterizing a solution.

©2004 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors December 2, 2002 and, in revised form, August 21, 2003.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34A60, 35F30, 49L25.

Key words and phrases. Almost everywhere solutions, viscosity solutions of nonlinear first order partial differential equations.

In Section 2 we introduce the notion of viscosity solution of a *differential inclu*sion; namely: given a closed set E, we say that a function u is a viscosity solution of the differential inclusion

$$Du(x) \in E, \ x \in \Omega,$$

if u is a viscosity solution of the equation

(3)
$$F(Du(x)) = 0, x \in \Omega,$$

where $F(\xi) = \text{dist} \{\xi, E\}$. We will prove in Theorem 6 that the function $L : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$L(x) = \max\left\{ \langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in E \right\},\$$

is a viscosity solution of the differential inclusion (2), i.e. it is a *fundamental solution* of the equation (3).

2. Fundamental solution and viscosity solutions of differential inclusions

We start by recalling some classical definitions and notations in convex analysis. We say that $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an *extreme point* for a convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \xi = t\xi_1 + (1-t)\xi_2, \\ \xi_1, \xi_2 \in K, \ t \in (0,1), \end{cases}$$

imply that $\xi = \xi_1 = \xi_2$.

If E is a set (not necessarily convex) of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by E_{ext} the set of extreme points of the convex hull of E denoted by co E (note that $E_{\text{ext}} \subset E$).

We also recall that the domain of a convex function $L:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}\cup\{+\infty\}$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{dom} L = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ L\left(x\right) < +\infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 1 below generalizes an analogous result obtained by Ischii and Loreti (see the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [8]) in the case that E is the level set of a continuous, positively homogeneous function of degree one, equal to zero only at the origin of \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1. Let E be a compact set of \mathbb{R}^n . For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let

$$L(x) = \max\left\{\langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in E\right\}$$

Then

$$DL(x) \in E \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Remark 2. (i) It should be noted that in fact the theorem is more precise, namely

$$DL(x) \in \overline{E_{\text{ext}}} \subset E \cap \partial \operatorname{co} E \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(ii) If E is any set, not necessarily closed or bounded, then the proof gives (replacing max by sup) that

$$DL(x) \in \overline{E}$$
 a.e. $x \in \text{dom } L$.

(iii) In terms of convex analysis and anticipating on (4) we can say that L is the support function of $\cos E$.

Before proceeding with the proof it might be interesting to rewrite the theorem in terms of equations.

Corollary 3. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that

$$E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : F(\xi) = 0\}$$

is a bounded set. Let $L(x) = \max \{ \langle \xi, x \rangle : F(\xi) = 0 \}$; then

$$F(DL(x)) = 0 \ a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. The following representation formula for L holds (see Rockafellar [12], Theorem 32.2):

(4)
$$L(x) = \max\{\langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in \operatorname{co} E\} = \max\{\langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in E\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In fact one has the more precise result (see Rockafellar [12], Corollary 32.3.2)

(5)
$$L(x) = \max\{\langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in \operatorname{co} E\} = \max\{\langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in E_{\operatorname{ext}}\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let $\{\xi_h\}_{h\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a (finite or) countable dense subset of $E_{\text{ext}} \subset E$ and, analogously to (4), for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let us define

$$L_{h}(x) = \max\left\{\left\langle \xi_{1}, x\right\rangle, \left\langle \xi_{2}, x\right\rangle, \dots, \left\langle \xi_{h}, x\right\rangle\right\}.$$

Clearly the gradient DL_h exists almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n and

(6)
$$DL_h(x) \in \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_h\} \subset E_{\text{ext}}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the sequence $L_h(x)$ is increasing with respect to $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have

$$L(x) = \sup \{L_h(x) : h \in \mathbb{N}\} = \lim_{h \to +\infty} L_h(x)$$

For every $h \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $L_h(x)$ is convex with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$\operatorname{dom} L_h = \operatorname{dom} L = \mathbb{R}^n$$

Thus we can apply Lemma 4 and we obtain that, at every point where L_h and L are differentiable (i.e., almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n),

$$DL_h(x) \to DL(x)$$
.

Therefore, by (6), we get the conclusion

$$DL(x) \in \overline{E_{\text{ext}}} \subset E$$
, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we used a result given in [11] (Lemma 5.9), that we recall here in a form more appropriate to the applications given in this paper.

Lemma 4. Let $\{L_h\}_{h\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of convex functions, defined on \mathbb{R}^n with values on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, with pointwise limit $L : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. At every point $x \in int [(\bigcap_{h\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{dom} L_h) \cap \operatorname{dom} L]$, where L_h and L are differentiable, the gradient $DL_h(x)$ converges in \mathbb{R}^n to the gradient DL(x).

Proof. For every $h \in \mathbb{N}$ let dom L_h and dom L be the domains of L_h and L. Then each L_h is locally Lipschitz-continuous in int dom L_h and L is locally Lipschitzcontinuous in int dom L. Therefore, for every $h \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a set $N_h \subset$ dom $L_h \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of zero measure such that L_h is differentiable at every point of dom $L_h \setminus N_h$. Analogously, there exists a set $N \subset$ dom L of zero measure such that

L is differentiable at every point of dom $L \setminus N$. Then the set of points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where L_h and L are differentiable is (possibly empty and) given by

$$\left(\bigcap_{h\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\operatorname{dom} L_h\backslash N_h\right)\right)\bigcap\left(\operatorname{dom} L\backslash N\right)$$

and differs from the intersection of their domains $(\bigcap_{h\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{dom} L_h) \cap \operatorname{dom} L$ by (at most) a set $(\bigcup_{h\in\mathbb{N}} N_h) \cup N$ of zero measure. Let $x \in \operatorname{int} [(\bigcap_{h\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{dom} L_h) \cap \operatorname{dom} L]$ be a point of \mathbb{R}^n where L_h and L are differentiable. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then at $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n)$ the partial derivatives $\partial L_h / \partial x_i$ and $\partial L / \partial x_i$ are well defined. An elementary application of the convex inequality for the function L_h gives the monotonicity of the difference quotient; precisely, if t > 0 is sufficiently small and if, as usual, we denote by $x \pm te_i$ the two points of \mathbb{R}^n with coordinates respectively $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_i \pm t, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n)$, we have

$$\frac{L_{h}\left(x-te_{i}\right)-L_{h}\left(x\right)}{-t} \leq \frac{\partial L_{h}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x\right) \leq \frac{L_{h}\left(x+te_{i}\right)-L_{h}\left(x\right)}{t}$$

and, in the limit as $h \to +\infty$,

$$\frac{L\left(x-te_{i}\right)-L\left(x\right)}{-t} \leq \liminf_{h \to +\infty} \frac{\partial L_{h}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x\right) \leq \limsup_{h \to +\infty} \frac{\partial L_{h}}{\partial x_{i}}\left(x\right) \leq \frac{L\left(x+te_{i}\right)-L\left(x\right)}{t}.$$

Since L is differentiable at x, as $t \to 0^+$ we obtain that $\partial L_h / \partial x_i(x)$ converges to $\partial L / \partial x_i$. The property being such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, we have the conclusion, i.e., that the gradient $DL_h(x)$ converges in \mathbb{R}^n to the gradient DL(x).

Remark 5. With a slightly different proof, as in Lemma 5.9 in [11], we can give a compactness result. Precisely, we can show that from every locally bounded sequence $\{L_h\}_{h\in\mathbb{N}}$ of convex functions $(\{L_h\}_{h\in\mathbb{N}}$ uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, with Ω open set in \mathbb{R}^n) it is possible to select a subsequence $\{L_{h_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ whose gradients $\{DL_{h_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge almost everywhere in Ω , and at the same time $\{L_{h_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in the strong topology of $W^{1,q}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, for every $q \in [1, +\infty)$.

With the help of the above construction we can give a definition of what we mean by viscosity solutions of differential inclusions. Given a closed set E, we say that a function u is a viscosity solution of the differential inclusion

$$Du(x) \in E, x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

if u is a viscosity solution of the equation

$$F\left(Du\left(x\right)\right) = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$

where $F(\xi) = \text{dist} \{\xi, E\}$. We therefore have the following result.

Theorem 6. Let E be a compact set of \mathbb{R}^n . For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let

$$L(x) = \max\left\{\langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in E\right\}$$

Then L is a viscosity solution of

$$DL(x) \in E, x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. The function L being convex we have that $D^+L(x)$ (the superdifferential of L at x; see [1] and [6] for the precise definition of this set) is either empty or reduced to $\{DL(x)\}$, i.e. x is a point of differentiability of L and we know by Theorem 1 that at such points $DL(x) \in E$. We therefore have that

$$F(p) = 0, \forall p \in D^+L(x),$$

which means that L is a viscosity subsolution (see Proposition 4.7 of [6]) of F(Du) = 0.

Since $F \ge 0$ we deduce trivially that

$$F(p) \ge 0, \ \forall p \in D^{-}L(x),$$

where $D^{-}L(x)$ is the subdifferential of L at x. This means that L is a viscosity supersolution of F(Du) = 0.

Combining these two results we have indeed that L is a viscosity solution of F(Du) = 0 and hence of $Du \in E$.

3. FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION AND THE BOUNDARY CONDITION

We now want to discuss a Dirichlet problem in a bounded domain. We first fix the notations.

We let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open convex set and denote by $\nu(y)$ the outward unit normal at $y \in \partial \Omega$ (that exists at almost all points $y \in \partial \Omega$, since Ω is convex).

We next let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set with $0 \in \text{intco} E$. We then associate to co E its gauge ρ , which is a convex and positively homogeneous of degree one function, such that

$$\operatorname{co} E = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \rho\left(\xi\right) \le 1 \right\}.$$

Recall also that

$$L(x) = \max\left\{ \langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in E \right\}.$$

We should immediately note that, with our hypotheses on E (and invoking (4)), the function L is in fact the polar of ρ , denoted also sometimes by ρ^0 .

We finally consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in E, & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We could also consider the case of a more general boundary datum of class C^1 but the analysis can then be carried in a straightforward manner.

We have the following theorem that is inspired by Cardaliaguet-Dacorogna-Gangbo-Georgy [3] (see also [6]).

Theorem 7. Let Ω , ν , E, ρ and L be as above and satisfy in addition

(7)
$$\frac{-\nu(y)}{\rho(-\nu(y))} \in E, \ a.e. \ y \in \partial\Omega;$$

then the function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

(8)
$$u(x) = \min \left\{ L(x-y) : y \in \partial \Omega \right\},$$

solves the Dirichlet problem

(9)
$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in E, & a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

As before we rewrite this theorem in terms of functions.

Corollary 8. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous with $F(\xi) \to \infty$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$ and F(0) < 0. Set

$$E = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : F(\xi) = 0 \right\}.$$

Let Ω , ν , ρ and L be as above. If

(10)
$$F\left(\frac{-\nu(y)}{\rho(-\nu(y))}\right) = 0 \ a.e. \ y \in \partial\Omega,$$

then

(11)
$$u(x) = \min \left\{ L(x-y) : y \in \partial \Omega \right\}$$

solves

(12)
$$\begin{cases} F(Du(x)) = 0, & a.e. \ x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore if $E \subset \partial \operatorname{co} E$, then u is a viscosity solution.

Remark 9. (i) The first part of the corollary follows immediately from the theorem. The fact that u is a viscosity solution (when $E \subset \partial \operatorname{co} E$) was established in [3].

(ii) Note that if, in addition, $\partial \operatorname{co} E \subset E$ (which happens if, for instance, F is convex or more generally if the set $\{\xi : F(\xi) \leq 0\}$ is convex), then (10) is always satisfied. In fact, since ρ is positively homogeneous of degree one,

$$\rho\left(\frac{-\nu\left(y\right)}{\rho\left(-\nu\left(y\right)\right)}\right) = 1 \; \Rightarrow \; \frac{-\nu\left(y\right)}{\rho\left(-\nu\left(y\right)\right)} \in \partial \operatorname{co} E \subset E.$$

Moreover, if $E = \partial \operatorname{co} E$, u defined in (11) is the unique viscosity solution of (12).

(iii) According to Theorem 4.1 of Lions [10], the Dirichlet problem (12) always has a viscosity solution. However the solution given by (11) is not necessarily a viscosity solution; it is so when $E \subset \partial \operatorname{co} E$.

We can now proceed with the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 7. We recall the following two facts (the first one is just the Hopf-Lax formula and the second one is Lemma 2.9 in [3] or Lemma 4.17 in [6]). We also use the standard notation $D^+u(x)$, respectively $D^-u(x)$, for the superdifferential, respectively the subdifferential, of u at x (see [6] for more details).

Fact 1: The function u is the viscosity solution of

(13)
$$\begin{cases} \rho(Du(x)) = 1, & x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Fact 2: Let $y(x) \in \partial \Omega$ be such that

$$u\left(x\right) = L\left(x - y\left(x\right)\right).$$

Then, if $p \in D^{-}u(x)$ (i.e. $D^{-}u(x)$ is non empty), the outward unit normal $\nu(y(x))$ is well defined and there exists $\lambda(y(x)) > 0$ such that

(14)
$$p = -\lambda (y(x)) \nu (y(x)).$$

Since we are interested in almost everywhere solutions we need only to consider points $x \in \Omega$ where $D^+u(x) = D^-u(x) = \{Du(x)\}$. Combining (13) and (14) with p = Du(x) and the homogeneity of ρ , we get that $\lambda(y) = 1/\rho(-\nu(y))$ and hence

$$Du(x) = \frac{-\nu(y)}{\rho(-\nu(y))}.$$

The hypothesis (7) leads to the result $Du \in E$.

4. The iteration scheme

As above we let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty bounded open set. We want to find, with the help of the previous construction, a solution $u \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ of the differential inclusion

$$Du(x) \in E$$
, a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

where $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact set with $0 \in \text{intco} E$. We let ρ be the gauge associated to co E.

We will find a sequence of disjoint convex open sets $\Omega_i \subset \Omega$ so that

$$\operatorname{meas}\left[\Omega\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\Omega_i\right]=0$$

and the function u will be defined as

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \inf \left\{ L(x-y) : y \in \partial \Omega_i \right\}, & x \in \Omega_i, \\ 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i \end{cases}$$

Observe that u is a viscosity solution of the Dirichlet problem $Du \in E$ in Ω_i , u = 0, on $\partial \Omega_i$ for every i (but not globally in Ω).

Any Vitali covering by level sets of the function L has all the above requirements. However we will choose, among them, one with some maximality properties. In particular we want that $\Omega_1 = \Omega$ if Ω is convex and $\frac{-\nu}{\rho(-\nu)} \in E$, a.e. on $\partial\Omega$, where ν is the outward unit normal to Ω (recall that this always happens if $E = \partial \operatorname{co} E$ or if Ω is the level set of the function L).

Before describing this construction we need to introduce some notations.

Notation 10. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We let G_{x_0} be the set of all gauges centered at x_0 . In other words this is the set of all convex functions $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\gamma(x_0) = 0, \ \gamma(x) > 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x_0\},$$

$$\gamma(t(x - x_0) + x_0) = t\gamma(x), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \forall t > 0.$$

Proposition 11. (i) If $\gamma \in G_{x_0}$ is differentiable at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (this happens at almost all points), then it is differentiable at any $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form $x_t = t(x - x_0) + x_0$, t > 0 and

$$D\gamma\left(x_{t}\right) = D\gamma\left(x\right).$$

In particular γ is differentiable at almost all points of $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \gamma(x) = 1\}$.

(ii) Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty bounded open convex set and $x_0 \in \text{int } C$. The gauge of C centered at x_0 is defined as

$$\gamma_{C,x_0}(x) = \inf \left\{ \lambda \ge 0 : x_0 + \frac{x - x_0}{\lambda} \in C \right\}.$$

Then $\gamma_{C,x_0} \in G_{x_0}$ and

$$C = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \gamma_{C, x_0} \left(x \right) < 1 \right\},$$
$$\partial C = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \gamma_{C, x_0} \left(x \right) = 1 \right\}.$$

Remark 12. At almost every point $x \in \partial C$, γ_{C,x_0} is differentiable and $D\gamma_{C,x_0}(x)$ is then an outward normal to C.

We will proceed inductively to define Ω_i . We start by choosing a sequence of points in Ω , $\{x^N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$, dense in Ω . We set $\Omega_0 = \emptyset$ and assume that Ω_i has already been defined. If $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_k = \emptyset$, then the procedure is already over. We then define, $N = N \ (i+1)$,

$$N(i+1) = \min\left\{N: x^N \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_k\right\}$$

and we label $x_{i+1} = x^{N(i+1)}$ (so that $x_1 = x^1$). We then choose $r_{i+1} > 0$ sufficiently small so that

$$\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: l_{r_{i+1}}(x) \equiv \frac{L(x_{i+1}-x)}{r_{i+1}} < 1\right\} \subset \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k},$$

where

$$L(x) = \max \left\{ \langle \xi, x \rangle : \xi \in E \right\}.$$

This is always possible since $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k}$ is an open set, $x_{i+1} \in \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k}$, L(0) = 0 and L is locally Lipschitz.

We next define

$$\Gamma\left(x_{i+1}, \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k}\right)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \gamma \in G_{x_{i+1}} : \frac{-D\gamma(x)}{\rho(-D\gamma(x))} \in E, \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : l_{r_{i+1}}\left(x\right) < 1\right\} \subset \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \gamma\left(x\right) < 1\right\} \subset \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that $l_{r_{i+1}} \in \Gamma\left(x_{i+1}, \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k}\right)$, since, by Theorem 1, $DL \in E$ and $\rho\left(DL\right) =$ 1. Observe also that if $\gamma \in \Gamma\left(x_{i+1}, \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k}\right)$, then (15) $\gamma \leq l_{r_{i+1}}$.

We now claim that there exists $\gamma_{i+1} \in \Gamma\left(x_{i+1}, \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k}\right)$ such that if $\Omega_{i+1} = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \gamma_{i+1}(x) < 1\right\},$

then

$$\operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega_{i+1}\right) = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma\left(x_{i+1}, \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_{k}\right)} \left[\operatorname{meas}\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \gamma\left(x\right) < 1\right\}\right].$$

Indeed let $\{\gamma^s\}$ be a maximizing sequence. From (15), we deduce that up to a subsequence, that we still label $\{\gamma^s\}$, the sequence converges to an element $\gamma_{i+1} \in \Gamma\left(x_{i+1}, \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=0}^{i} \overline{\Omega}_k\right)$. In fact all the conditions are easily checked. By Remark 5 we have

$$\frac{-D\gamma_{i+1}\left(x\right)}{\rho\left(-D\gamma_{i+1}\left(x\right)\right)} \in E$$

Let us prove, for example, that $\gamma_{i+1}(x) \neq 0$ if $x \neq x_{i+1}$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists $y \neq x_{i+1}$ with $\gamma_{i+1}(y) = 0$. We would deduce that $\gamma_{i+1} \equiv 0$ on the half line $x_{i+1} + t(y - x_{i+1}), t \geq 0$, which contradicts, Ω being bounded, the inclusion $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \gamma_{i+1}(x) < 1\} \subset \Omega$.

Since the measure is upper semicontinuous (in fact even continuous), cf. Proposition 14, with respect to the type of convergence under consideration we have the result.

Observe that, as wished, $\Omega_1 = \Omega$ if Ω is convex and $\frac{-\nu}{\rho(-\nu)} \in E$, a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ (because choosing ω the gauge of Ω centered at x_1 , we would have $\omega \in \Gamma(x_1, \Omega)$).

Since we have, with this procedure, exhausted all elements of the sequence $\{x^N\}$, we have indeed

meas
$$\left[\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{\Omega}_i\right] = 0.$$

Example 13. Consider the case $\Omega = (-1,1)^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $u = u(x_1, x_2)$ and

$$\left(\left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 - 1 \right)^2 + \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 - 1 \right)^2 = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \qquad \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Choosing the grid sequence $\left\{x^N\right\}_{N=1}^\infty$ in a suitable way, starting with $x^1=(0,0),$ we find with our procedure

$$\Omega^{1} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : |x_{1}| + |x_{2}| \leq 1 \right\} \text{ and } u(x_{1}, x_{2}) = 1 - |x_{1}| - |x_{2}| \text{ in } \Omega_{1}.$$

Similarly for Ω_i . Our construction is compatible with the numerical computations of [4].

We end up with an elementary convergence result that we used above.

Proposition 14. Let $\{\gamma^s\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ and γ^{∞} be measurable functions defined on a bounded measurable set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$\Omega^{s} = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \gamma^{s} \left(x \right) \le 1 \right\},\$$

$$\Omega^{\infty} = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \gamma^{\infty} \left(x \right) \le 1 \right\}.$$

If $\gamma^s \to \gamma^\infty$ a.e. in Ω , then

$$\operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{\infty}\right) \geq \limsup_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{s}\right).$$

If, in addition, γ^s and γ^{∞} are gauges centered at $x_0 \in \Omega$ and Ω is open, then

$$\operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{\infty}\right) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{s}\right).$$

Remark 15. Note that if γ^s and γ^{∞} are merely convex, then continuity does not hold, as the following example shows. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set containing the unit ball B_1 . If

$$\gamma^{s}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \le 1, \\ \frac{1}{s}|x| + \frac{s-1}{s} & \text{if } |x| > 1, \end{cases}$$

then $\Omega^s = B_1$ for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, while $\Omega^{\infty} = \Omega$.

Proof. 1) Define

$$\chi^{s}\left(x\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega^{s}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin \Omega^{s} \end{cases}$$

and similarly for χ^{∞} . Note that because of the convergence of γ^s to γ^{∞} , we have that, at almost all points where $\chi^{\infty}(x) = 1$ (i.e. $\gamma^{\infty}(x) > 1$) and for large enough $s, \chi^s(x) = 1$ and thus

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \chi^s(x) = \chi^\infty(x), \text{ a.e. } x \notin \Omega^\infty$$

Moreover, trivially, $\liminf_{s\to\infty} \chi^s(x) \ge \chi^\infty(x) = 0$, a.e. $x \in \Omega^\infty$ and therefore

$$\liminf_{s \to \infty} \chi^{s}(x) \ge \chi^{\infty}(x), \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Therefore by Fatou's lemma

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{s \to \infty} \left[\operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega\right) - \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{s}\right) \right] &= \liminf_{s \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \chi^{s}\left(x\right) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega} \chi^{\infty}\left(x\right) \, dx = \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega\right) - \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{\infty}\right) \end{split}$$

which gives the upper semicontinuity.

2) Let $B_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \le \varepsilon\}$ and for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ define

$$A + B_{\varepsilon} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = y + z \text{ with } y \in A \text{ and } |z| \le \varepsilon \}.$$

The Hausdorff distance between two sets is then defined as

$$l(A,B) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon \ge 0 : A \subset B + B_{\varepsilon}, B \subset A + B_{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Observe (see below) that since γ^s and γ^{∞} are gauges then

(16)
$$d(\Omega^s, \Omega^\infty) \to 0, \text{ as } s \to \infty,$$

and therefore (see Theorem 6.2.17 in [13])

$$\operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{\infty}\right) = \lim_{s \to \infty} \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega^{s}\right).$$

We now establish (16). We will prove that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find s sufficiently large so that

(17)
$$\Omega^{\infty} \subset \Omega^{s} + B_{\varepsilon}, \ \Omega^{s} \subset \Omega^{\infty} + B_{\varepsilon}.$$

Assume without loss of generality that $x_0 = 0$. Since Ω is bounded and γ^s are gauges that converge almost everywhere to a gauge γ^{∞} , the convergence is, in fact, uniform. Furthermore there exist m, M > 0 so that

$$m\left|x\right| \leq \gamma^{s}\left(x
ight),\,\gamma^{\infty}\left(x
ight) \leq M\left|x\right|,\,\forall x\in\Omega\,,$$

and, for s sufficiently large,

$$\left|\gamma^{s}\left(x\right)-\gamma^{\infty}\left(x\right)\right|\leq\varepsilon^{2},\,\forall x\in\Omega\,.$$

Let $x \in \Omega^{\infty}$, i.e. $\gamma^{\infty}(x) \leq 1$, and choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2}{1+\varepsilon^2} \le \delta \le m\varepsilon$$

and observe that

$$\gamma^{s} \left((1-\delta) x \right) = (1-\delta) \gamma^{s} \left(x \right) \le (1-\delta) \left(\gamma^{\infty} \left(x \right) + \varepsilon^{2} \right) \le (1-\delta) \left(1 + \varepsilon^{2} \right) \le 1,$$
$$|\delta x| \le \delta \frac{\gamma^{\infty} \left(x \right)}{m} \le \frac{\delta}{m} \le \varepsilon.$$

Therefore $x = (1 - \delta) x + \delta x \in \Omega^s + B_{\varepsilon}$ which is the first inclusion in (17). The second one being proved in a similar manner, we have the claim.

Acknowledgments

The research of Bernard Dacorogna was partially supported by the Fonds National Suisse (21-61390-00). The research of Paolo Marcellini was partially supported by the Italian Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR) and through the contribution of the Italian Ministero degli Affari Esteri, Direzione Generale per la Promozione e la Cooperazione Culturale (MAE).

References

- M. Bardi and I. Capuzzo Dolcetta, Optimal control and viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations, Birkhäuser, 1997. MR 99e:49001
- [2] F. Camilli and A. Siconolfi, Maximal subsolutions for a class of degenerate Hamilton-Jacobi problems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 48 (1999), 1111–1131. MR 2001a:49028
- [3] P. Cardaliaguet, B. Dacorogna, W. Gangbo and N. Georgy, Geometric restrictions for the existence of viscosity solutions, Annales Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire, 16 (1999), 189–220. MR 99k:35023
- [4] B. Dacorogna, R. Glowinski and T.W. Pan, Numerical methods for the solution of a system of eikonal equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, 336 (2003), 511-518.
- [5] B. Dacorogna and P. Marcellini, General existence theorems for Hamilton-Jacobi equations in the scalar and vectorial case, Acta Mathematica, 178 (1997), 1–37. MR 98d:35029
- [6] B. Dacorogna and P. Marcellini, Implicit partial differential equations, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 37, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999. MR 2000f:35005
- [7] L. Hörmander, Notions of convexity, Birkhaüser, 1994. MR 95k:00002
- [8] H. Ischii and P. Loreti, Relaxation in an L[∞]-optimization problem, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 133 (2003), 599-615.
- [9] H. Ischii and M. Ramaswamy, Uniqueness results for a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with singular coefficients, Comm. Par. Diff. Eq., 20 (1995), 2187-2213. MR 96k:35026
- [10] P. L. Lions, Generalized solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Research Notes in Math. 69, Pitman, London, 1982. MR 84a:49038
- [11] P. Marcellini, Non convex integrals of the calculus of variations, in: Methods of nonconvex analysis, ed. Cellina A., Lecture Notes in Math. 1446, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, 16–57. MR 91j:49002
- [12] R.T. Rockafellar, Convex analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970. MR 43:445
- [13] R. Webster, *Convexity*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994. MR **98h**:52001

Départment de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

E-mail address: bernard.dacorogna@epfl.ch

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA U. DINI, UNIVERSITÀ DI FIRENZE, FIRENZE, ITALY *E-mail address*: marcell@math.unifi.it