Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect
Journal of Functional Analysis 255 (2008) 3274–3280
JOURNAL OF Functional Analysis
www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa

On the n-dimensional Dirichlet problem for isometric maps

B. Dacorogna [a,*], P. Marcellini [b], E. Paolini [b]

[a] *Section de Mathématiques, EPFL, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*
[b] *Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy*

Received 24 January 2008; accepted 2 October 2008

Available online 28 October 2008

Communicated by H. Brezis

Abstract

We exhibit explicit Lipschitz maps from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ which have almost everywhere orthogonal gradient and are equal to zero on the boundary of a cube. We solve the problem by induction on the dimension n.

Keywords: Dirichlet problem; Orthogonal gradients

1. Introduction

We consider in the general n-dimensional case ($n > 1$) the *nonlinear system of PDE's*

$$Du^{\mathrm{t}}Du = I, \tag{1}$$

where Du^{t} denotes the *transpose* matrix of the gradient Du of a map $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, while I is the *identity matrix*. A map u satisfying (1) is said to be an *isometric map* or *rigid map* and its gradient is an *orthogonal matrix*; briefly as usual we write $Du \in O(n)$.

To the system (1) we associate the homogeneous boundary condition $u = 0$ on the boundary of a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The Dirichlet problem that we obtain is critical; i.e., it is incompatible with classical solutions. In fact any isometric map $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *of class* C^1 on an open

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: bernard.dacorogna@epfl.ch (B. Dacorogna).

0022-1236/$ – see front matter
doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2008.10.010

connected set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is *affine* by the classical Liouville theorem, and it therefore cannot be equal to zero on its boundary $\partial\Omega$. We can then consider *Lipschitz continuous* maps $u:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying the system (1) almost everywhere; then, if u is equal to zero on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ it must be not differentiable on *any* neighbourhood of *any* boundary point, thus presenting a *fractal behaviour* at the boundary.

In this paper we find an *explicit* Lipschitz solution to the differential problem

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in O(n) & \text{a.e. } x \in Q, \\ u(x) = 0 & x \in \partial Q, \end{cases}$$

where $Q = (0,1)^n$ is the unit cube and $O(n)$ stands, as said above, for the set of *orthogonal matrices* in $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$.

The study of *differential inclusions* of the form

$$\begin{cases} Du(x) \in E & \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = u_0(x) & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N\times n}$, $u:\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and u_0 is a given map, has received considerable attention. In the vectorial case $n, N \geqslant 2$, general theories of existence have been developed either via *the Baire category method* (see Dacorogna–Marcellini [3–5]) or via *the convex integration method by Gromov* (see Müller–Sverak [9]). These methods are purely existential and do not give a way of constructing explicit solutions. In parallel, for some special problems mostly related to the case when E is the set of orthogonal matrices, some solutions were provided in a constructive way. This started with the work of Cellina–Perrotta [1] when $n = N = 3$ and $u_0 = 0$, Dacorogna–Marcellini–Paolini [6,7] when $n = N = 2$ or $n = N = 3$ and Iwaniec–Verchota–Vogel [8] for $n = N = 2$, for related results see [2]. In this context there are also some related unpublished arguments by R.D. James for $n = N = 2$. In [7] the connection between this problem with *isometric immersions* and *origami* has been made. Moreover in [7] we also dealt with inhomogeneous linear boundary data.

In the present article we give a self contained and purely analytical construction *in any dimension*. Despite its generality our proof is shorter than the existing ones which were, however, restricted to the cases $n = 2, 3$. We first solve the problem by induction on the dimension in the half-space $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We then get the solution to our problem by composing the solution in the half-space with a map that sends the whole boundary of the unit cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to one of its faces. We should point out that our construction in fact solves the problem in a more precise way: instead of considering matrices in the whole of $O(n)$, we use only a finite number of them, namely *permutation matrices* whose non zero entries are ± 1.

2. The fundamental brick

Define $f:\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(t) = \min\{t, 1-t\}.$$

Then define $h:\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$h(x,y) = \big(h^1(x,y), h^2(x,y)\big) = \begin{cases} (x, f(y)) & \text{if } x \leqslant y, \\ (y, f(x)) & \text{if } x \geqslant y. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Finally we define a map $\phi_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, for $n = 2, 3, \dots,$ by induction on n

$$\begin{cases} \phi_2(x_1, x_2) = h(x_1, x_2), \\ \phi_{n+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ \quad = \big(\phi_n\big(h^1(x_1 - n + 1, x_{n+1}) + n - 1, x_2, \dots, x_n\big), h^2(x_1 - n + 1, x_{n+1})\big). \end{cases}$$

More in details, ϕ_{n+1} can be written *as a composition* of the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) &\mapsto (x_1 - n + 1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}), \\ (y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) &\mapsto \big(h^1(y_1, y_{n+1}), y_2, \dots, y_n, h^2(y_1, y_{n+1})\big), \\ (z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) &\mapsto (z_1 + n - 1, z_2, \dots, z_{n+1}), \\ (w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}) &\mapsto \big(\phi_n(w_1, \dots, w_n), w_{n+1}\big). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *rigid map*, or an *isometric map*, if it is Lipschitz continuous and $Du(x) \in O(n)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; i.e., if u satisfies (1) for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1 *(Properties of ϕ_n). The map $\phi_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, for every $n = 2, 3, \dots,$ satisfies the following properties*:

(i) *ϕ_n is a piecewise affine rigid map*;
(ii) *if $x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in [0, 1]$ then*

$$\phi_n(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \big(0, f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)\big);$$

(iii) *on the cube $[n - 1, n] \times [0, 1]^{n-1}$ the map ϕ_n is affine.*

Proof. We will use the following properties of the map h defined in (2):

(1) h is a piecewise affine rigid map;
(2) if $y \geqslant 0$ and $x \leqslant 0$ then $h(x, y) = (x, f(y))$;
(3) if $x \geqslant 1$ and $y \in [0, 1]$ then $h(x, y) = (y, 1 - x)$.

We prove the theorem by induction on n. In the case $n = 2$ the claims are direct consequences of the properties of h. We assume now that the theorem holds true for n, and we prove it for $n + 1$.

Claim (i) is a consequence of the fact that the composition of piecewise affine rigid maps is again a piecewise affine rigid map.

To prove (ii) we compute, for any $x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in [0, 1]$,

$$\phi_{n+1}(0, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \big(\phi_n\big(h^1(1 - n, x_{n+1}) + n - 1, x_2, \dots, x_n\big), h^2(1 - n, x_{n+1})\big);$$

since $1 - n \leqslant 0 \leqslant x_{n+1}$, by property (2) of the function h we have $h(1 - n, x_{n+1}) = (1 - n, f(x_{n+1}))$, hence we continue

$$= \big(\phi_n(0, x_2, \dots, x_n), f(x_{n+1})\big)$$

and by the induction hypothesis

$$= \big(0, f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})\big)$$

which is the claim.

Let us conclude by proving (iii). Let $x_1 \in [n, n+1]$ and $x_2, \dots, x_{n+1} \in [0, 1]$. We have

$$\phi_{n+1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \big(\phi_n\big(h^1(x_1 - n + 1, x_{n+1}) + n - 1, x_2, \dots, x_n\big), h^2(x_1 - n + 1, x_{n+1})\big);$$

since $x_1 - n + 1 \geqslant 1$ and $x_{n+1} \in [0, 1]$, by the property (3) of h we find that $h(x_1 - n + 1, x_{n+1}) = (x_{n+1}, n - x_1)$, hence

$$= \big(\phi_n(x_{n+1} + n - 1, x_2, \dots, x_n), n - x_1\big);$$

since now $x_{n+1} + n - 1 \in [n-1, n]$, by the induction hypothesis we conclude that ϕ_{n+1} is affine on this region. □

3. The pyramid construction

Let us start with some notations. Let f be as in the previous section. For every $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we order the real numbers $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$ so that

$$f(x_{i_1}) \leqslant f(x_{i_2}) \leqslant \dots \leqslant f(x_{i_n}).$$

We then define $v : [0, 1]^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$v(x) = \big(f(x_{i_1}), f(x_{i_2}), \dots, f(x_{i_n})\big).$$

Note that for $v(x) = (v^1(x), \dots, v^n(x))$ we have

$$v^1(x) = \min_{i=1,\dots,n} \big\{f(x_i)\big\}, \qquad v^n(x) = \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \big\{f(x_i)\big\},$$

$$v^k(x) = \max_{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}} \Big[\min_{i \neq i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}} \big\{f(x_i)\big\}\Big], \quad k = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

in particular, when $n = 3$,

$$v^2(x) = \max\big[\min\big\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\big\}, \min\big\{f(x_1), f(x_3)\big\}, \min\big\{f(x_2), f(x_3)\big\}\big].$$

Theorem 2 *(Pyramid construction). Let $Q = (0, 1)^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The map $v : \overline{Q} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined above, has the following properties*:

(i) *v is a piecewise affine rigid map*;
(ii) $v(Q) \subset (0, 1/2]^n \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon x_1 > 0\}$;
(iii) $v(\partial Q) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon x_1 = 0\}$, *meaning that $v^1 = 0$ on ∂Q.*

Proof. The map v is constructed as the composition of piecewise affine rigid maps, so it is piecewise affine rigid. The second property is a consequence of the fact that if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in (0,1)$ then $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n) \in (0, 1/2]$. If we take $x \in \partial Q$ we know that at least one component x_k of x is equal to either 0 or 1. So $f(x_k) = 0$. Since $f(x_j) \geqslant 0$ for every $x_j \in [0,1]$ we conclude that $f(x_k) = 0$ is the first component of $v(x)$. $\square$

4. The solutions to the Dirichlet problem

Now we are going to construct a locally piecewise rigid map $w : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with zero boundary condition.

First we consider the *zigzag* function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is defined by the conditions

$$\begin{cases} F(t) = 2f(t/2) = \min\{t, 2-t\}, & \text{when } t \in [0,2], \\ F(t) = F(t+2), & \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

We also consider the affine map $x \mapsto Jx + a$, with $J \in O(n)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that (as mentioned in Theorem 1)

$$\phi_n(x) = Jx + a \quad \text{when } x_1 \in [n-1, n] \text{ and } x_2, \dots, x_n \in [0,1].$$

Define, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the vector $b_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$b_k = \sum_{j=k}^{+\infty} \frac{J^{-j}}{2^{j+1}} a',$$

where $a' = (n-1, 0, \dots, 0) + J^{-1}a$.

Let $H = (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Given $x \in H$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(n-1)2^{-k} \leqslant x_1 < (n-1)2^{1-k}.$$

Then, for such a point x, we define

$$w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 2^{-k} J^{-k} \phi_n\big(2^k x_1 - n + 1, F\big(2^k x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^k x_n\big)\big) + b_k,$$

where ϕ_n is the map considered in Theorem 1, while for $x_1 = 0$ we define

$$w(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 3 *(Solution in the half-space). Let $H = (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. The map $w : \overline{H} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is locally piecewise affine in H and it is rigid on $\overline{H}$. Moreover $w(\partial H) = 0$.*

Proof. We first want to check the continuity of w on the planes $x_1 = (n-1)2^{-k}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So let x be a point on such a plane and let us check that

$$\begin{aligned} &w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad = 2^{-k-1} J^{-k-1} \phi_n\big(2^{k+1} x_1 - n + 1, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) + b_{k+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

With the substitution $x_1 = (n-1)2^{-k}$ in the definition of w, the left-hand side of (3) becomes

$$2^{-k} J^{-k} \phi_n\big(0, F\big(2^k x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^k x_n\big)\big) + b_k;$$

by Theorem 1, since $F(t) \in [0,1]$ for all t,

$$= 2^{-k} J^{-k}\big(0, f\big(F\big(2^k x_2\big)\big), \dots, f\big(F\big(2^k x_n\big)\big)\big) + b_k$$

and by the identity $f(F(t)) = F(2t)/2$,

$$= 2^{-k-1} J^{-k}\big(0, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) + b_k.$$

While the right-hand side of (3) is, for $x_1 = (n-1)2^{-k}$, equal to

$$2^{-k-1} J^{-k-1} \phi_n\big(n-1, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) + b_{k+1};$$

since $F(t) \in [0,1]$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by Theorem 1 we can replace ϕ_n with the affine map $Jx + a$, and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{-k-1} J^{-k-1}\big[J\big(n-1, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) + a\big] + b_{k+1} \\
&= 2^{-k-1} J^{-k}\big(n-1, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) + 2^{-k-1} J^{-k-1} a + b_{k+1} \\
&= 2^{-k-1} J^{-k}\big(0, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) \\
&\quad + 2^{-k-1} J^{-k}(n-1, 0, \dots, 0) + 2^{-k-1} J^{-k-1} a + b_{k+1} \\
&= 2^{-k-1} J^{-k}\big(0, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) + 2^{-k-1} J^{-k} a' + b_{k+1};
\end{aligned}$$

by recalling the definition of b_k, we obtain, as desired

$$= 2^{-k-1} J^{-k}\big(0, F\big(2^{k+1} x_2\big), \dots, F\big(2^{k+1} x_n\big)\big) + b_k.$$

So the map w on $H = (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is locally piecewise affine and rigid. We now inspect the boundary values of w. Take any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$w\big(2^{-k}(n-1), 2^{-k} i_1, \dots, 2^{-k} i_n\big) = 2^{-k} J^{-k} \phi_n\big(0, F(i_1), \dots, F(i_n)\big) + b_k;$$

by Theorem 1 we get

$$= 2^{-k} J^{-k}\big(0, f\big(F(i_1)\big), \dots, f\big(F(i_n)\big)\big) + b_k;$$

now notice that $F(i_k)$ is either 0 or 1 hence $f(F(i_k)) = 0$, so we find

$$= b_k.$$

Now since $b_k \to 0$ as $k \to +\infty$ and w is Lipschitz continuous, we conclude that $w \to 0$ at every point of ∂H and hence is continuous on the whole set $\overline{H}$. $\square$

Theorem 4 *(Solution in the cube). Let $Q = (0,1)^n$, w be as above and v as in Section 3. The map $u = w \circ v : \overline{Q} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is locally piecewise affine in Q and it is rigid on $\overline{Q}$. Moreover $u(\partial Q) = 0$.*

Proof. The map w of Theorem 3 is a Lipschitz solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Dw \in O(n) & \text{a.e. in } H, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial H \end{cases}$$

where H is the half-space of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $u = w \circ v$, we clearly have that u is rigid and so $Du \in O(n)$ a.e. Moreover since $v(\partial Q) \subset \partial H$ and $w(\partial H) = 0$, we get the condition $u(\partial Q) = 0$. □

Notice that we have solved a more precise problem, namely

$$Du(x) \in \Pi(n) \subset O(n)$$

where $\Pi(n)$ is the set of *permutation matrices* whose non-zero entries are ± 1. In particular we have used at most $n!2^n$ different matrices in the construction of w and v.

References

[1] A. Cellina, S. Perrotta, On a problem of potential wells, J. Convex Anal. 2 (1995) 103–115.

[2] S. Conti, F. Maggi, Confining thin elastic sheets and folding paper, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 187 (2008) 1–48.

[3] B. Dacorogna, P. Marcellini, Théorème d'existence dans le cas scalaire et vectoriel pour les équations de Hamilton–Jacobi, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 322 (1996) 237–240.

[4] B. Dacorogna, P. Marcellini, General existence theorems for Hamilton–Jacobi equations in the scalar and vectorial case, Acta Math. 178 (1997) 1–37.

[5] B. Dacorogna, P. Marcellini, Implicit Partial Differential Equations, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 37, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1999.

[6] B. Dacorogna, P. Marcellini, E. Paolini, An explicit solution to a system of implicit differential equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 25 (2008) 163–171.

[7] B. Dacorogna, P. Marcellini, E. Paolini, Lipschitz-continuous local isometric immersions: Rigid maps and origami, J. Math. Pures Appl. 90 (2008) 66–81.

[8] T. Iwaniec, G. Verchota, A. Vogel, The failure of rank one connections, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 163 (2002) 125–169.

[9] S. Müller, V. Šverák, Attainment results for the two well problem by convex integration, in: J. Jost (Ed.), Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996, pp. 239–251.