

# Shadow Boundaries and the Fourier Transform.

Maryna Yaskina

University of Oklahoma  
&  
Université de Marne-la-Vallée

joint work with Paul Goodey and Vladyslav  
Yaskin

June 4 – 8, 2007  
*Cortona, Italy*

Convex centrally symmetric bodies are uniquely determined by:

- Volumes of projections (Alexandrov's theorem)
- Volumes of central sections (Minkowski's Theorem)

Convex bodies (not necessary centrally symmetric):

- K.J.Falconer, R.J.Gardner: volumes of all hyperplane sections passing through any two fixed points in the interior of the body
- A.Koldobsky, C.Shane: generalization of the previous to derivatives of section functions
- R.Schneider: mean width and Steiner point of projections
- K.Böröczky, R.Schneider: volumes and centroids of hyperplane sections through 0

Let  $f$  be an infinitely smooth function on the sphere  $S^{n-1}$ , denote

$$f_p(x) = f(x/|x|)|x|^{-n+p}$$

its homogeneous extension to  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of degree  $-n + p$ .

Also define

$$F_\xi(t) = (1-t^2)^{(n-3)/2} \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} f(t \xi + \sqrt{1-t^2} \zeta) d\zeta.$$

**Theorem 1.**[GKS] Let  $f$  be an even function. The Fourier transform of  $f_p$  is given by the following formulas.

i) If  $0 < p < 2k + 1$ ,  $p \neq n$ ,  $p$  is not an odd integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} (f_p)^\wedge(\xi) = & \cos \frac{p\pi}{2} \Gamma(p) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |t|^{-p} (F_\xi(t) - F_\xi(0) - \right. \\ & \left. - \dots - F_\xi^{(2k-2)}(0) \frac{t^{2k-2}}{(2k-2)!}) dt + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{m=1}^{2k-2} F_\xi^{(m)}(0) \frac{2}{m!(1+m-p)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

ii) if  $p = 2k - 1 \neq n$ , then

$$(f_{2k-1})^\wedge(\xi) = \pi(-1)^{k+1} F_\xi^{(2k-2)}(0).$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f$  be an odd function.

iii) If  $0 < p < 2k + 2$ ,  $p \neq n$ ,  $p$  is not an even integer, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f_p)^\wedge(\xi) = & i \sin \frac{p\pi}{2} \Gamma(p) \left( \int_{-1}^1 |t|^{-p} \operatorname{sgn} t (F_\xi(t) - F'_\xi(0)t - \right. \\
 & \left. - \dots - F_\xi^{(2k-1)}(0) \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}) dt + \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{m=1}^{2k-1} F_\xi^{(m)}(0) \frac{2}{m!(1+m-p)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

iv) if  $p = 2k \neq n$ , then

$$(f_{2k})^\wedge(\xi) = i\pi(-1)^{k+1} F_\xi^{(2k-1)}(0).$$

## Proof.

For  $0 < p < 1$  the Fourier transform of (odd)  $f_p$  is a homogeneous function of degree  $-p$  whose values on the sphere are given by the formula

$$(f_p)^\wedge(\xi) = i \sin \frac{p\pi}{2} \Gamma(p) \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\theta) |(\xi, \theta)|^{-p} \operatorname{sgn}(\xi, \theta) d\theta.$$

In general, if  $p < 2k + 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f_p)^\wedge(\xi) = & i \sin \frac{p\pi}{2} \Gamma(p) \times \left( \int_{-1}^1 |t|^{-p} \operatorname{sgn} t (F_\xi(t) - F'_\xi(0)t - \right. \\
 & \left. - \dots - F_\xi^{(2k-1)}(0) \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}) dt + \right. \\
 & \left. + \sum_{m=1}^{2k-1} F_\xi^{(2k-1)}(0) \frac{2}{m!(1+m-p)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, taking the limit as  $p \rightarrow 2k$  we get

$$(f_{2k})^\wedge(\xi) = i\pi(-1)^{k+1} F_\xi^{(2k-1)}(0).$$

## Shadow boundaries

The **support function** of a convex body  $K$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is defined by

$$h_K(x) = \max_{\xi \in K} (x, \xi), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Let  $K$  be a convex body in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The **shadow boundary** of  $K$  under illumination parallel to  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$  is defined as the set of all boundary points of  $K$  at which there are support lines of  $K$  parallel to  $\xi$ .

Let  $K$  be strictly convex. For  $\theta \in \xi^\perp$  let  $x(\theta)$  denote the unique point of intersection of  $K$  and the support plane perpendicular to  $\theta$ .

The **average height of the shadow boundary** of  $K$  in the direction of  $\xi$  is

$$H_K(\xi) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} (x(\theta), \xi) d\theta.$$

Ewald, Larman and Rogers: the average height of the shadow boundary of an arbitrary convex body is defined for almost all  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ .

The **average width of the shadow boundary** of  $K$  in the direction of  $\xi$  is

$$W_K(\xi) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} h(\theta) d\theta.$$

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a strictly convex body.  $K$  is uniquely determined the average height and average width of all shadow boundaries.

**Proof.** In order to recover the odd part of  $h_K$  we will use part (iv) of the previous Theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (h_2^-)^\wedge(\xi) &= i\pi F'_\xi(0) \\
 &= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} h_K^-(t \xi + \sqrt{1-t^2} \zeta) d\zeta \right)_{t=0} \\
 &= \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} h_K(t \xi + \sqrt{1-t^2} \zeta) d\zeta \right)_{t=0} \\
 &= \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} (\nabla h_K(\zeta), \xi) d\zeta \\
 &= H_K(\xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

## Stability

Let  $0 < p < n$ . Let  $I_p : C^\infty(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C^\infty(S^{n-1})$  be the operator defined by

$$I_p(f) = (f_p)^\wedge$$

Schur's Lemma:

$$I_p(H_m) = \lambda_m(n, p)H_m,$$

where  $H_m$  is a spherical harmonic of degree  $m$ .

### Lemma

$$|\lambda_m(n, p)| = \frac{2^p \pi^{n/2} \Gamma((m+p)/2)}{\Gamma((m+n-p)/2)}$$

The supremum norm (or the Hausdorff distance) is defined as

$$\delta_{\infty}(K, L) = \max_{\theta \in S^{n-1}} |h_K(\theta) - h_L(\theta)|.$$

However it will be more convenient to use the  $L_2$ -distance

$$\delta_2(K, L) = \|h_K - h_L\|_2 = \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} (h_K(\theta) - h_L(\theta))^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2}$$

Vitale's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(n) \delta_2(K, L) &\leq \delta_{\infty}(K, L) \\ &\leq c_2(n) D^{2(n-1)/(n+1)} \delta_2(K, L)^{2/(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D = \text{diam}(K \cup L)$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are constants depending on  $n$  only.

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  and  $L$  be convex bodies in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , contained in a ball of radius  $R$ , with infinitely smooth support functions. Let  $0 < p < n$ . If for some  $\epsilon \geq 0$

$$\|I_p(h_K) - I_p(h_L)\|_2 \leq \epsilon,$$

then

$$\delta_\infty(K, L) \leq \begin{cases} C(n, p, R)\epsilon^{\frac{4}{(n-2p+2)(n+1)}}, & \text{if } n > 2p, \\ C(n, p, R)\epsilon^{\frac{2}{n+1}}, & \text{if } n \leq 2p. \end{cases}$$

Here  $C(n, p, R)$  is a constant that depends only on  $n, p, R$ .

## Proof.

Let  $f = h_K - h_L$  and denote its associated series by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_m.$$

By Vitale's theorem it is enough to estimate the  $L_2$ -norm of  $f$  instead of the sup-norm.

Assume first that  $n > 2p$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_2(K, L)^2 &= \|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|Q_m\|_2^2 = \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( |\lambda_m(n, p)|^{\frac{4}{n-2p+2}} \|Q_m\|_2^{\frac{4}{n-2p+2}} \right) \times \\
&\quad \times \left( |\lambda_m(n, p)|^{-\frac{4}{n-2p+2}} \|Q_m\|_2^{\frac{2n-4p}{n-2p+2}} \right) \leq \\
&\leq \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_m(n, p)|^2 \|Q_m\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{2}{n-2p+2}} \times \\
&\quad \times \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_m(n, p)|^{-\frac{4}{n-2p}} \|Q_m\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{n-2p}{n-2p+2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Parseval's equality:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_m(n, p)|^2 \|Q_m\|_2^2 = \|I_p f\|_2^2$$

Stirling's formula:

$$|\lambda_m(n, p)|^{-\frac{4}{n-2p}} \approx C(n, p)m^2,$$

as  $m$  tends to infinity.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_2^2 &\leq C(n, p) \left( \|I_p f\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{2}{n-2p+2}} \times \\ &\quad \times \left( \|Q_0\|_2^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m(m+n-2) \|Q_m\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{n-2p}{n-2p+2}} \\ &\leq C(n, p) \epsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2p+2}} \left( \epsilon^2 + \|\nabla_o h_K - \nabla_o h_L\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{n-2p}{n-2p+2}} \\ &\leq C(n, p) \epsilon^{\frac{4}{n-2p+2}} \left( \epsilon^2 + R^2 \right)^{\frac{n-2p}{n-2p+2}} \end{aligned}$$

If  $n \leq 2p$ , then  $|\lambda_m(n, p)|$  does not approach zero as  $m$  tends to infinity.

Therefore  $\exists C(n, p)$  such that

$$C(n, p)|\lambda_m(n, p)|^2 \geq 1$$

for all  $m$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_2^2 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|Q_m\|_2^2 \leq C(n, p) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_m(n, p)|^2 \|Q_m\|_2^2 = \\ &= C(n, p) \|I_p f\|_2^2 \leq C(n, p) \epsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary.** Let  $K$  and  $L$  be convex bodies in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which are contained in a ball of radius  $R$ . If their average heights and average widths of shadow boundaries of these two bodies are close in the  $L_2$ -norm, then  $K$  and  $L$  are close with respect to the Hausdorff distance.