

Determination of Convex Bodies by Projection Functions

Wolfgang Weil

U Karlsruhe

weil@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

Florence, May 16–20, 2005

The classical uniqueness result

For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$, the (i, j) -th **projection function** $\pi_{ij}(K, \cdot)$ of a **convex body** K is defined by

$$\pi_{ij}(K, \cdot) := V_i(K|L), \quad L \in \mathcal{L}_j^n.$$

\uparrow
 **i -th intrinsic volume of
orthogonal projection**

A **classical result** of Alexandrov (1937) yields that a centrally symmetric body K (of dimension $\geq i + 1$) is uniquely determined (up to translation) by the projection function $\pi_{ij}(K, \cdot)$.

The **proof** is based on the following steps:

- The **Cauchy-Kubota formula** shows that $\pi_{ij}(K, \cdot)$ determines $\pi_{i, n-1}(K, \cdot)$.

- The integral representation

$$\pi_{i, n-1}(K, u^\perp) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, x \rangle| S_i(K; dx), \quad u \in S^{n-1},$$

and the injectivity properties of the **Cosine Transform** show that the surface area measure $S_i(K; \cdot)$ of K is determined (here the symmetry of K comes in).

- For $\dim(K) \geq i + 1$, the surface area measure $S_i(K; \cdot)$ determines K (up to translation).

Natural questions

- (1) What do we know about K , if only partial knowledge of $\pi_{ij}(K, \cdot)$ or knowledge of modified functions (averages) is assumed?
- (2) Are there variants of $\pi_{ij}(K, \cdot)$, which allow the determination of general (non-symmetric) bodies?

Problem by Hermann Dinges for my diploma thesis (1968, Frankfurt):

Let Z be a body with support function

$$h(Z, u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, x \rangle| \rho(Z; dx), \quad u \in S^{n-1}.$$

The behavior of $h(Z, \cdot)$ on a small ‘cap’ $U_\epsilon(u) \subset S^{n-1}$ (with centre u) should be determined by the behavior of $\rho(Z; \cdot)$ in the orthogonal ‘zone’

$$U_\epsilon(u^\perp) = \{v \in S^{n-1} : v \perp w \text{ for some } w \in U_\epsilon(u)\}.$$

If we let the $U_\epsilon(u)$ vary over a whole zone $U_\epsilon(v^\perp)$, $u \in v^\perp$, v fixed, the corresponding zones $U_\epsilon(u^\perp)$ cover S^{n-1} . Show that therefore the knowledge of $h(Z, \cdot)$ in $U_\epsilon(v^\perp)$ determines $\rho(Z; \cdot)$ uniquely (and so also Z).

R. Schneider, Zu einem Problem von Shephard über die Projektionen konvexer Körper, *Math. Z.* **101**, 71–82 (1967):

The Cosine Transform C is a continuous bijection on $C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$.

(\Rightarrow Answer to above problem is no!)

Theorem (Schneider/W. 1970). *Let K, M be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , n odd, which have a vertex with normal $u \in S^{n-1}$ and are centrally symmetric to 0. If, for some $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$V_{n-1}(K|v^\perp) = V_{n-1}(M|v^\perp), \quad \text{for all } v \in U_\epsilon(u^\perp),$$

then $K = M$.

The theorem is wrong in even dimensions or if only one of the bodies has a vertex.



Theorem (Schneider/W. 1970). *Let K, M be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , n odd, which have a vertex with normal $u \in S^{n-1}$ and are centrally symmetric to 0. If, for some $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$V_{n-1}(K|v^\perp) = V_{n-1}(M|v^\perp), \quad \text{for all } v \in U_\epsilon(u^\perp),$$

then $K = M$.

Schneider/W. 1983: Survey on zonoids (green book)

Schneider/W. 1986: Kinematic formula for curvature measures (basic paper for translative integral geometry)

Theorem (Goodey/Schneider/W. 1995). For $1 < i < n - 1$, there are convex bodies K in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, which are not centrally symmetric and which are uniquely determined (up to translation and reflection) by $\pi_{ii}(K, \cdot)$.

Moreover, there are also centrally symmetric bodies M which are uniquely determined (up to translations) within all convex bodies by $\pi_{ii}(K, \cdot)$.

Flag representations of convex bodies

Motivation:

For **generalized zonoids** K, M , and $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, we have

$$\pi_{jj}(K, \cdot) = c_{nj} \int_{\mathcal{L}_j^n} |\langle L, \cdot \rangle| \rho_j(K; dL)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V(K[j], M[n - j]) &= d_{nj} \int_{\mathcal{L}_{n-j}^n} \pi_{jj}(K, N^\perp) \rho_{n-j}(M; dN) \\ &= e_{nj} \int_{\mathcal{L}_j^n} \int_{\mathcal{L}_{n-j}^n} |\langle L, N^\perp \rangle| \rho_{n-j}(M; dN) \rho_j(K; dL). \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary (non-symmetric) convex bodies K, M a corresponding formula is classical for $j = 1$:

$$V(K[1], M[n - 1]) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) S_{n-1}(M; du).$$

What about $j > 1$?

Flag measures

Schneider (1978): Integral geom. interpr. of curvature meas.

→ W. (1981): Extended curvature measures on flats

→ Kropp (1990): Extended area measures (flag measures)

(→ common generalization: Extended support measures)

For

$$\mathcal{F}_k^n := \{(u, L) \in S^{n-1} \times \mathcal{L}_k^n : u \perp L\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

let

$$\Omega_k(K; A) := \int_{\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^n} S'_k(K|N, A|N) dN,$$

where $A \subset \mathcal{F}_{n-1-k}^n$ runs through the Borel sets and

$$A|N := \{u \in S^{n-1} : (u, L) \in A \text{ for some } L \perp N\}.$$

$\Rightarrow S_k(K; \cdot)$ is the image of $\Omega_k(K; \cdot)$ under $(u, L) \mapsto u$.

Representation of mixed volumes

(Project by **Goodey, Hinderer, Hug, Rataj, W.**)

There exists a measurable function f_k on $\mathcal{F}_{n-1-k}^n \times \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V(K[k], M[n-k]) \\ = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{n-1-k}^n} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{k-1}^n} f_k(u, L; v, N) \Omega_{n-k}(M; d(v, N)) \Omega_k(K; d(u, L)), \end{aligned}$$

for all convex bodies K, M .

Representation of projection functions

For $M =$ unit ball in L^\perp , $L \in \mathcal{L}_j^n$, one gets

$$V_j(K|L) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{n-1-j}^n} g_j(u, N; L) \Omega_j(K; d(u, N)),$$

with some function g_j on $\mathcal{F}_{n-1-j}^n \times \mathcal{L}_j^n$ (**Hinderer, 2002**).

Directed projection functions (flag functions)

(1) First approach (**Goodey/W. 2004**):

We have

$$V_i(K) = c_{in} S_i(K; S^{n-1}), \quad 1 \leq i < n.$$

We therefore define a **directed projection function** $v_{ij}(K; L, u)$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$, $L \in \mathcal{L}_j^n$ and almost all $u \in L \cap S^{n-1}$, by

$$v_{ij}(K; L, u) := c_{ij} S'_i(K|L; u^+ \cap L).$$

Here, $u^+ := \{v \in S^{n-1} : \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0\}$.

(**Groemer (1997)** discussed the function $v_{12}(K, \cdot)$, for $n = 3$.)
 $v_{ij}(K; \cdot)$ can be interpreted as a function on \mathcal{F}_{j-1}^n .

Theorem. *If $1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$ and K, M are convex bodies of dimension at least $i + 1$ with*

$$v_{ij}(K; \cdot) = v_{ij}(M; \cdot),$$

then K and M are translates.

There is also a corresponding **stability result**.

Disadvantages: (a) Determination only up to translation.

(b) Approach does not work for $i = j$.

(c) K may be 'over-determined' by such flag functions.

(2) Second approach (**Goodey/W. 2005**):

We have

$$V_i(K) = c_{in} \int_{\text{Nor}(K)} \langle x, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle \Theta_{i-1}(K; d(x, v)) \\ + d_{in} \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle u, v \rangle^2 S_i(K; dv), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

(Here, $u \in S^{n-1}$ is arbitrary and $\Theta_j(K; \cdot)$ is the j -th **support measure** on the **normal bundle** $\text{Nor}(K)$ of K .)

We therefore define a (second kind of) **directed projection function**

$$p_{ij}(K; L, u) = c_{ij} \int_{\text{Nor}(K|L)} \mathbf{1}_{u^+}(v) \langle x, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle \Theta'_{i-1}(K|L; d(x, v)) \\ + d_{ij} \int_{u^+ \cap L} \langle u, v \rangle^2 S'_i(K|L; dv),$$

(for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$, $L \in \mathcal{L}_j^n$ and $u \in L \cap S^{n-1}$).

Theorem. *If $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$ and K, M are convex bodies of dimension at least $i + 1$ with*

$$p_{ij}(K; \cdot) = p_{ij}(M; \cdot),$$

then $K = M$.

There is no corresponding **stability result** yet.

Again, K may be over-determined by $p_{ij}(K; \cdot)$.

For $i = 1$, $v_{1j}(K; L, \cdot)$ is the **hemispherical transform** of the first surface area measure $S'_1(K|L; \cdot)$, whereas $p_{1j}(K; L, \cdot)$ is the hemispherical transform of the support function $h(K|L, \cdot)$.

Projection averages

Let $\bar{v}_j(K, u)$ and $\bar{p}_j(K, u)$ be the averages of $v_{1j}(K; L, u)$ resp. $p_{1j}(K; L, u)$ over all $L \in \mathcal{L}_j^n$ which contain u (we only have results for this linear case $i = 1$).

Theorem. Let $K, M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex bodies and $2 \leq j < \frac{2n-3}{5}$ or $\frac{n-2}{2} \leq j \leq n-1$. If

$$\bar{v}_j(K, \cdot) = \bar{v}_j(M, \cdot),$$

then K, M are translates.

On the other hand, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, there are convex bodies K, M in \mathbb{R}^{5i+4} , which are not translates, with

$$\bar{v}_{2i+1}(K, \cdot) = \bar{v}_{2i+1}(M, \cdot).$$

Theorem. Let $K, M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex bodies and $1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2} + 1$ or $\frac{2n+1}{3} < j \leq n - 1$, for $n \neq 4$ (resp. $j = 2$ if $n = 4$). If

$$\bar{p}_j(K, \cdot) = \bar{p}_j(M, \cdot),$$

then $K = M$.

On the other hand, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, there are convex bodies $K \neq M$ in \mathbb{R}^{3i+1} with

$$\bar{p}_{2i+1}(K, \cdot) = \bar{p}_{2i+1}(M, \cdot).$$