

# De Bruijn Monads

A high-level perspective on de Bruijn encoding

Marco Maggesi (joint work with André Hirschowitz)

Foundations for the practical formalization of mathematics (FPFM)

April 26–27, 2017, Nantes, France

# Plan of this talk

- *Classical* dB encoding
- *Functional* dB encoding
- Theory: modules over dB-monads

# Computing vs Reasoning

## Good for *reasoning*

```
fact 0 := 1
fact (suc n) := n * fact n
```

## Good for *computing*

```
fact n := facti 1 n
facti a 0 := a
facti a n := facti (n*a) (n-1)
```

# Motivating example

## De Bruijn encoding of Lambda Calculus

# De Bruijn encoding: example

Nominal encoding:

$$\lambda x. (\lambda y. yx)x$$

De Bruijn encoding:

$$\lambda. (\lambda. 01)0$$
The diagram shows the De Bruijn encoding  $\lambda. (\lambda. 01)0$ . The first  $\lambda$  is green, the second  $\lambda$  is orange, and the two 0s are green. A green arc connects the first  $\lambda$  to the first 0, and another green arc connects the second  $\lambda$  to the second 0. An orange arc connects the two  $\lambda$  symbols, representing the lambda abstraction of the second lambda.

# De Bruijn encoding

Example: Datatype for  $\lambda$ -calculus in OCaml

```
type term = Ref of int  
          | Abs of term  
          | App of term * term;;
```

# Classical approach (good for computing)

```
value rec lifti n t k = match t with
  [ Ref i    -> if i < k then Ref(i)
                               else Ref(n+i)
  | Abs t    -> Abs (lifti n t (k+1))
  | App t u  -> App (lifti n t k) (lifti n u k)
  ]
and lift n t = lifti n t 0;
```

[G. Huet, CCT, Section 1.4.2, p. 15]

# Classical approach (good for computing)

```
value rec substi w t n = match t with
  [ Ref k    -> if k=n then lift n w
                else if k<n then Ref k
                else Ref (pred k)
  | Abs t    -> Abs (substi w t (n+1))
  | App t u  -> App (substi w t n) (substi w u n)
  ]
and subst u t = substi u t 0;
```

[G. Huet, CCT, Section 1.4.2, p. 15]



# Problem: associativity of substitution

$$\text{subst } w \text{ (subst } u \text{ } t) = \text{subst (subst } w \text{ } u) \text{ (subst } w \text{ } t \text{ 1)}$$

# Classical approach (not so good for reasoning)

$$\text{lifti } k (\text{lifti } j \text{ t } i) (j + i) = \text{lifti } (j + k) \text{ t } i$$

$$i \leq n \Rightarrow \text{lifti } k (\text{lifti } j \text{ t } i) (j + n) = \text{lifti } j (\text{lifti } k \text{ t } n) i$$

$$i \leq k \leq (i + n) \Rightarrow \text{lifti } j (\text{lifti } n \text{ t } i) k = \text{lifti } (j + n) \text{ t } i$$

$$\text{lifti } k (\text{substi } u \text{ t } j) (j + i) = \text{substi } (\text{lifti } k \text{ u } i) (\text{lifti } k \text{ t } (j + i + 1) j)$$

$$i \leq n \Rightarrow \text{substi } u (\text{lifti } j \text{ t } i) (j + n) = \text{lifti } j (\text{substi } u \text{ t } n) i$$

$$i \leq k \leq (i + n) \Rightarrow \text{substi } u (\text{lifti } (n + 1) \text{ t } i) k = \text{lifti } n \text{ t } i$$

$$\text{substi } w (\text{substi } u \text{ t } i) (i + j) = \text{substi } (\text{substi } w \text{ u } j) (\text{substi } w \text{ t } (i + j + 1)) i.$$

[Huet, CCT, Section 1.4.3, p.15]

# Associativity of substitution

What you want:

$$\text{subst } w \text{ (subst } u \text{ } t) = \text{subst (subst } w \text{ } u) \text{ (subst } w \text{ } t \text{ 1)}$$

$$\text{subst } i \text{ } w \text{ (subst } i \text{ } u \text{ } t \text{ 0) 0} =$$

$$\text{subst } i \text{ (subst } i \text{ } w \text{ } u \text{ 0) (subst } i \text{ } w \text{ } t \text{ 1) 0}$$

What you have to prove by induction:

$$\text{subst } i \text{ } w \text{ (subst } i \text{ } u \text{ } t \text{ } i) (i + j) =$$

$$\text{subst } i \text{ (subst } i \text{ } w \text{ } u \text{ } j) \text{ (subst } i \text{ } w \text{ } t \text{ (} i + j + 1)) } i$$

# Even worst: fusion law subs-lift

What you want:

$$\text{subst } u \text{ (lift } (n + 1) \text{ t)} = \text{lift } n \text{ t}$$

What you have to prove by induction:

$$i \leq k \leq i + n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{subst}_i u \text{ (lift}_i \text{ (n + 1) t } i) \text{ k} =$$

$$\text{lift}_i n \text{ t } i$$

We seek for a more  
elegant solution

# Key ideas

- Use *parallel substitution*
- *Functional* approach

# *“La supériorité de l'ordre supérieur”*

```
subst : (nat -> term) -> (term -> term)
deriv : (nat -> term) -> (nat -> term)
map    : (nat -> nat) -> (term -> term)
```

```
subst f (Ref i)      := f i
subst f (App (t,u)) := App (subst f t,subst f u)
subst f (Abs t)     := Abs (subst (deriv f) t)
```

```
deriv f 0           := Ref 0
deriv f (Suc i)     := map suc (f i)
```

```
map f x             := subst (Ref 0 f) x
```

# How to recover the linear substitution

`push u f 0`  $:=$  `u`

`push u f (suc i)`  $:=$  `f i`

`subst1 u v`  $:=$  `subst (push u ref) v`



# Advantages

- No auxiliary functions/parameters (i.e., no **k**).
- High-level view on each line of code.
- Nice fusion laws

# Monadic fusion laws

## `Monadic' fusion laws

$$\begin{aligned}\text{map } f (\text{map } g \ t) &= \text{map } (f \circ g) \ t \\ \text{map } f (\text{subst } g \ t) &= \text{subst } (\text{map } f \circ g) \ t \\ \text{subst } f (\text{map } g \ t) &= \text{subst } (f \circ g) \ t \\ \text{subst } f (\text{subst } g \ t) &= \text{subst } (\text{subst } f \circ g) \ t\end{aligned}$$

## `Classical' fusion laws [Huet]

$$\begin{aligned}\text{lifti } k (\text{lifti } j \ t \ i) \ (j + i) &= \text{lifti } (j + k) \ t \ i \\ i \leq n \Rightarrow \text{lifti } k (\text{lifti } j \ t \ i) \ (j + n) &= \text{lifti } j (\text{lifti } k \ t \ n) \ i \\ i \leq k \leq (i + n) \Rightarrow \text{lifti } j (\text{lifti } n \ t \ i) \ k &= \text{lifti } (j + n) \ t \ i \\ \text{lifti } k (\text{substi } u \ t \ j) \ (j + i) &= \text{substi } (\text{lifti } k \ u \ i) (\text{lifti } k \ t \ (j + i + 1) \ j) \\ i \leq n \Rightarrow \text{substi } u (\text{lifti } j \ t \ i) \ (j + n) &= \text{lifti } j (\text{substi } u \ t \ n) \ i \\ i \leq k \leq (i + n) \Rightarrow \text{substi } u (\text{lifti } (n + 1) \ t \ i) \ k &= \text{lifti } n \ t \ i \\ \text{substi } w (\text{substi } u \ t \ i) \ (i + j) &= \text{substi } (\text{substi } w \ u \ j) (\text{substi } w \ t \ (i + j + 1)) \ i.\end{aligned}$$

De Bruijn monads

# From monads to dB-monads

- De Bruijn functor:  $\mathbf{dB} : \langle \mathbb{N} \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$
- De Bruijn monads  $:=$  monads relative to  $\mathbf{dB}$
- Functor

$\text{monads}/\mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow \mathbf{dB}\text{-monads}$

$R \longmapsto R(\mathbb{N})$

# Axiomatic presentation of dB-monads

## Structure

Carrier	$T : \text{type}$
Substitution	$\text{subst} : (\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow T) \longrightarrow (T \longrightarrow T)$
Reference	$\text{ref} : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow T$

## Axioms

Associativity	$\text{subst } f (\text{subst } g \ x) = \text{subst } (\text{subst } f \ o \ g) \ x$
Right unit	$\text{subst } f (\text{ref } i) = f \ i$
Left unit	$\text{subst } \text{ref } \ x = x$

# Accessory definitions

$\text{map } f \ t \quad := \text{subst } (\text{ref } o \ f) \ t$

$\text{lift } n \ t \quad := \text{map } (i \mapsto i+n) \ t$

$\text{push } u \ f \ 0 \quad := u$

$\text{push } u \ f \ (\text{suc } i) \quad := f \ i$

$\text{subst1 } u \ t \quad := \text{subst } (\text{push } u \ \text{ref}) \ t$

(dB-)Modules

# dB-modules

## Structure

Base	$T$ dB-monad
Carrier	$M : \text{type}$
Action	$\text{msubst} : (\mathbb{N} \longrightarrow T) \longrightarrow (M \longrightarrow M)$

## Axioms

Associativity	$\text{msubst } f (\text{msubst } g \ x) = \text{msubst } (\text{subst } f \ o \ g) \ x$
Left unit	$\text{msubst } \text{ref} \ x = x$



# dB-linear morphisms

$M_1, M_2$  modules over the same dB-monad  $T$ .

A linear *morphism* is

$$\text{phi} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$$

such that

$$\text{phi} (\text{msubst1 } f \ x) = \text{msubst2 } f \ (\text{phi } x)$$

# Basic examples of dB-modules

- The tautological module
- Initial module  $\mathbb{N}$  and final module  $\star$
- Products

# Derivation

- $M$  module over a dB-monad  $T$
- $M'$  is the derived module with

$$\begin{aligned} M' &:= M \\ \text{msubst}' f x &:= \text{msubst} (\text{deriv } f) x \\ \text{deriv } f \ 0 &:= \text{ref } 0 \\ \text{deriv } f (\text{suc } i) &:= \text{bump } (f \ i) \end{aligned}$$

- Caveat:  $\mathbb{N} \simeq \mathbb{N} + \star$

# $\lambda$ -calculus revised

```
ref :      nat      -> term      -- unit
app : term * term -> term      -- linear
abs :      term'    -> term      -- linear
```

# High level point of view

<code>subst f (Ref i)</code>	<code>:= f i</code>	right unit
<code>subst f (App(t,u))</code>	<code>:= App(subst f t,subst f u)</code>	linearity App
<code>subst f (Abs t)</code>	<code>:= Abs(subst (deriv f) t)</code>	linearity Abs
<code>deriv f 0</code>	<code>:= Ref 0</code>	derivation
<code>deriv f (Suc i)</code>	<code>:= map suc (f i)</code>	
<code>map f x</code>	<code>:= subst (Ref o f) x</code>	functoriality

# Initial syntax and semantics

# Syntax and semantics with dB-monads

- Just use the functor  
 $\text{monads/Set} \longrightarrow \text{dB-monads}$
- Can easily translate:
  - Signatures (high-order, algebraic)
  - Representations
  - Initiality
  - Equations — e.g.,  $\lambda$ -calculus /  $\alpha\beta\eta$

# Future work

- Expand our computer formalization (generalize to algebraic signatures).
- Add types [Ahrens].
- More general signatures (strengthened signatures: [Matthes-Uustalu]).



Thank you!